ARE ALL M&AS ALIKE - AND DOES IT MATTERS?

Kanishka Choudhary[*]

ABSTRACT

Despite the extensive literature on mergers and acquisitions, our understanding of this topic remains limited. We have garnered some valuable insights, including the tendency for acquirers to overpay, positive outcomes in friendly share-based transactions, CEOs' attachment to deals leading to potential risks, and the challenges associated with integration that only a few organizations can effectively address. However, considering we are currently experiencing the largest merger boom in history, it is evident that our existing knowledge falls short. In response to this gap in understanding M&A dynamics comprehensively, I conducted a year-long study sponsored by Harvard Business School. This research aimed to explore M&A strategies and execution issues rigorously. The multitude of transactions classified as mergers or acquisitions actually represents distinct strategic activities within academia's numerous scholarly investigations as well as among consultants and businessmen alike. Therefore, further examination is needed not only encompassing these variations but also delving into their unique features which influence overall performance during such undertakings. By doing so systematically across diverse M&A cases ranging from industry sectors and deal sizes – enhanced comprehension can be achieved regarding successful approaches towards achieving desired outcomes when engaging in mergers or acquiring other entities at local/regional/global scales.

INTRODUCTION

Despite the vast amounts of ink written on the subject, we know surprisingly little about mergers and acquisitions. Our cumulative wisdom, in fact, can be summed up in a few simple sentences: Acquirers frequently overpay. Friendly transactions involving shares frequently do well. CEOs fall in love with transactions and fail to step away when necessary. Integration is difficult to do, yet a few organisations accomplish it successfully and regularly. Given that we're in the midst of the largest merger boom in history, such collective wisdom appears, to put it mildly, insufficient. I just oversaw a Harvard Business School-sponsored year-long study on M&A activity. That research attempted to investigate M&A strategy and execution

[*]BA LLB, FIRST YEAR, RAJASTHAN SCHOOL OF LAW FOR WOMEN, JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN.

issues with more rigour. The hundreds of transactions that scholars, consultants, and businessmen classify as mergers and acquisitions are actually quite distinct strategic activities[1]. It is crucial to highlight, however, that the reported failure rate of mergers and acquisitions may be exaggerated. Critics sometimes cite studies indicating that 50% of mergers fail, however, these numbers are deceptive since they treat all agreements the same without taking into account variances in deal structure, market dynamics, or other variables. In truth, well-executed mergers and acquisitions may generate considerable value for shareholders by generating cost savings through economies of scale, gaining access to new markets or technology through strategic alliances, and gaining market share or diversifying revenue streams to reduce overall risk. While some CEOs may fall in love with deals and overpay as a result, this phenomenon is not unique.

Acquisitions are made for five reasons: to cope with overcapacity in mature industries through consolidation; to roll-up rivals in geographically dispersed sectors; to expand into new goods or markets; as a replacement for R&D; and to exploit collapsing industry borders by creating an industry. Despite the vast number of books and papers on mergers and acquisitions, no one has ever attempted to connect strategic purpose to the consequences of integration. It seems to reason that executives in charge of each of these tasks will encounter unique obstacles. If you buy a firm because your industry has surplus capacity, you must rapidly choose which factories to close and which employees to lay off. If you purchase a firm because it is developing a hot technology, your issue will be to retain the acquisition's finest engineers. These two circumstances necessitate almost opposing managerial behaviours on the part of the purchasing firm. even though there have been many books and articles published on m&a, no one has ever tried to link strategic intent to the implications for integration that result.— joseph bower, his professor I'LL now look at the issues that occur in various sorts of acquisitions, which I'll look at using the resources-processes-values framework. Resources are real and intangible assets, processes are actions that convert resources into products and services, and values drive employee choices and how they are made. See "Some Order in the Chaos" for further information on these phrases.)Scenario 1: Excess Capacity Many mergers and acquisitions have a place in industries with significant overcapacity; these are often older, capital-intensive businesses. Overcapacity accounted for 37% of the M&A transactions in our analysis. Automotive, steel, and petrochemical

[1]A Phenomenological Investigation of Person-Organizational Fit: Characteristics and Leadership Styleshttps://scite.ai/reports/10.26668/businessreview/2022.v7i5.734

industries fall within this group. . From the perspective of the acquiring corporation, the justification for acquisition is the ancient jungle law: eat or be eaten. When done correctly, this type of transaction makes strategic sense. The buyer shuts down less competitive plants, fires ineffective management, and streamlines administrative procedures. Finally, the purchasing business has a larger market share, a more efficient operation, better managers, and more clout, and the industry has less surplus capacity. What is there not to like? (Unless you paid too much.) Thousands of transactions are conducted with these goals in mind. However, only a few of these transactions have been deemed successful after the fact. Recommendations You won't be able to operate the merged firm until you've rationalised it, so find out how to accomplish it as soon as possible. Don't presume your resources are superior to those of the purchased firm. And don't expect someone to ruin something they've worked on for years. Impose your own procedures as soon as possible. Expect problems if the acquired firm is as large as yours and its procedures are distinct. Some key personnel will depart, making it more difficult to rationalise the amalgamated businesses. A voluntary agreement is preferable, but an early agreement is required. Do not attempt to eliminate disparities based on nation, religion, race, or gender. Scenario No. 2: M&a's Geographic Roll-upUps, which appear to be overcapacity acquisitions at first appearance, differ significantly in part because they often occur at an earlier point in an industry's life cycle. Many sectors have long been in a fragmented state: local businesses stay local, and no company becomes dominant regionally or nationally.[2] While mergers and acquisitions may make strategic sense for corporations in overcapacity industries, they frequently come at a high cost to employees and the overall economy. The "eat or be eaten" logic encourages cutthroat competition that prioritises market dominance above other vital aspects like job stability and fair competition. Furthermore, research shows that most M&A transactions fail owing to managers' proclivity to overpay for acquisitions. Rather than focusing on short-term advantages through consolidation, businesses should invest in their current operations and prioritise ethical business practises that benefit all stakeholders. Boosting local businesses

Enterprises with successful strategies eventually grow geographically by acquiring other enterprises in neighbouring regions. If the relationship with local clients is crucial, the operational unit will usually remain local. The acquiring firm delivers a mix of decreased operational expenses and increased consumer value. Because both overcapacity acquisitions

[2]The Effect of Macroeconomic Variables and The Stock Market on The Financial Performance of Companies Two Years After The Merger and Acquisitionhttps://scite.ai/reports/10.36418/syntax-idea.v3i11.1572

and regional roll-ups combine enterprises, distinguishing between them can be difficult except on a case-by-case basis. They do, however, differ in several essential aspects. For starters, their strategic motivations differ. Roll-ups are related to the construction of industry titans and are used to gain economies of size and scope. Acquisitions of excess capacity are intended to reduce capacity and duplication. They occur when the titans must be reduced in size to accommodate decreasing global markets. Recommendations Acquired businesses frequently appreciate more simplified, efficient operations. However, if you find significant opposition, you may afford to ease the target company's personnel into new practises. It's more critical in geographic roll-ups to keep key workers — and customers — than it is to realise efficiencies immediately. If a strong culture already exists, impart new ideals gradually and quietly. Carrots outperform sticks, especially with the high-priced, difficult-to-replace staff. [3]

Product or Market Expansion Scenario 3 M&aThe third type of M&A transaction is one that is designed to expand a company's product line or worldwide reach. While M&As may provide enormous benefits to a firm, particularly when expanding into foreign markets, the amount of complexity and unpredictability associated with these transactions should not be underestimated. According to Brouthers and Brouthers' research, an acquired firm will gain only if the parent company obtains a more effective organisational structure through expertise exchange and transfer via various forms of transaction. This implies that just buying another firm will not ensure success. Companies combine and acquire for a variety of reasons, such as tolerating overcapacity, expanding geographically or into new product lines, replacing R&D through purchase, or industry convergence. Companies must have a clear strategic justification for engaging in M&A transactions, as well as a focus on developing a more efficient organisational structure through expertise exchange and transfer. Mergers and acquisitions can assist organisations looking to grow their operations, but they must have a clear strategic reason for doing so. Furthermore, proper integration is critical, and organisations must be ready to engage in this process to guarantee that the purchase produces the desired results.

Furthermore, while M&As may appear to be the preferable form of entry for enterprises in distant countries due to their capacity to connect to local business networks and appear less foreign, M&As are not the only way to enter a market.

[3]The Effect of Macroeconomic Variables and The Stock Market on The Financial Performance of Companies Two Years After The Merger and Acquisitionhttps://scite.ai/reports/10.36418/syntax-idea.v3i11.1572

These are often comparable to regional roll-ups, and occasionally they entail transactions between large corporations. They also involve a greater distance – into a separate nation, rather than merely into a neighbouring city or state. The chance of success is influenced by the relative sizes of the firms. When near equals unite, the same issues that arise in overcapacity acquisitions arise challenges imposing new procedures and values on a large, well-established organisation. If, on the other hand, a huge entity (such as GE) makes its nth acquisition of a tiny firm, the odds of success skyrocket.RecommendationsUnderstand what you're purchasing. The more you travel from your home base, the more difficult it is to be sure of your expertise. Be mindful that your basic procedures may differ significantly from those employed by the target organisation. Cultural variations and regulatory regulations can obstruct core process execution. Take the time to learn how the target firm attained the success that prompted you to purchase it. If it's good at product development but you're not...well, you figure it out. Remember that the larger you are in comparison to your target organisation, the higher your chances of success.

4th scenario: The M&a As R&dAcquisitions as a replacement for in-house R&D are connected to product and market expansions, but I'll handle it separately because it's so new and untested. In response to shorter product life cycles, a variety of high-tech and biotech enterprises employ acquisition rather than R&D to rapidly gain market position. As Cisco's president and CEO, John Chambers, puts it, "If you don't have the resources to develop a component or product within six months, you must buy what you need or miss the opportunity."

While mergers and acquisitions are frequently viewed as advantageous for organisations looking to expand, it is crucial to examine opposing viewpoints. One such argument contends that mergers and acquisitions may not be the most efficient approach for a corporation to enhance its research and development capacity. Some organisations employ M&A in place of in-house R&D to swiftly gain market position and adapt to product life cycle shortening. The concept of utilising acquisitions to replace in-house R&D is a new and unproven concept that has developed in the high-tech and biotech industries. While this technique appears to be an effective way of swiftly establishing a market position, it also raises concerns about the value of internal innovation. Companies risk losing control of their intellectual property if they rely on external sources for R&D information. Furthermore, such an approach may not necessarily be consistent with the long-term goal or culture of a corporation. It is crucial to highlight that mergers and acquisitions are not always the most effective strategy for organisations to enhance their research and development capacity.[4]

Cisco has bought 62 firms since 1996 as it seeks to dominate the Internet server and communication equipment markets. An acquisition is typically advantageous from the standpoint of the target firm because it requires a large amount of money to develop a sustainable company in technical areas. In addition, potential acquirers (such as Microsoft) may quickly smash you if you compete directly with them.RecommendationsAgain, know what you're getting into. Netscape and a slew of other high-tech firms repeatedly acquired second-rate technologies. This does not provide excellent business results. Cisco, on the other hand, employs industrial-strength review techniques. When replacing purchases for R&D, there is no time for sluggish absorption. If the vision and values are incompatible, the new individuals will not work.

When bringing in people who are giving up the CEO title and have the wealth to walk away, cultural due diligence is very vital. Put in charge of acquisition integration of well-known and influential executives. During a critical integration, relieve them of all other obligations. Make this a key competence and a high-visibility task. Spend equal amounts of time making new employees happy and integrating new products or technologies into current tasks.5th Scenario: Industry Convergence M&aThe first four categories entail altering the connections between industry participants. The fourth one entails a whole new type of reconfiguration. It requires creating an industry and a business model based on an untested hypothesis: that significant synergies may be realised by repurposing resources from current industries whose boundaries seem to be disappearing. The managerial issue is significantly greater than in the other categories. Success is determined not just by how successfully you purchase and integrate, but also, and maybe more crucially, by how well you gamble on industry boundaries. This method, like M&A as R&D, is difficult to scientifically analyse. However, in this situation, the difficulty is not due to the fact that it is a novel activity. (By establishing the vertically integrated GM, William Durant was establishing an industry.)

While mergers and acquisitions may appear to be a favourable alternative for quick firm development, there are various counter-arguments. To begin, research on merger and acquisition activity reveals that most managers overpay for acquisitions. Studies on other

[4]An Exploration of the Impact of Mergers and Acquisitions in the Nigerian Banking Sector: A Study of Access Bank and Diamond Bankhttps://scite.ai/reports/10.47672/ijbs.1337

strategic initiatives, such as new market entry, have comparable effects. This suggests that corporations may be squandering resources through M&A rather than investing them elsewhere where they are more likely to earn higher returns. Furthermore, the challenge of blending organisational cultures plagues mergers and acquisitions, making cultural due diligence a vital factor to examine during the purchase process. Furthermore, organisations that engage in M&A should delegate acquisition integration to well-regarded and influential leaders and relieve them of all other tasks throughout the process. However, in certain circumstances, such as industry convergence M&A, developing a totally new sector and business model based on an untested concept poses an even bigger challenge to management. Another issue that arises during mergers and acquisitions is the blending of organisational cultures. If companies do not share similar cultural values prior to merger or acquisition, integration into a single cohesive entity becomes challenging. The issue here is that efforts to create strategic power by bringing together dissimilar enterprises are unique. Regardless of the number of participants, this is an entrepreneurial activity in the process, and success appears to be dependent on the entrepreneur's talent and luck as much as anything else. Recommendations Successful convergence transactions appear to follow a set of procedures. First, the target firm receives the acquirer's accounting and control systems. The acquirer then begins to rationalise the non-essential procedures (though there appears to be no urgency). Finally, enterprises that do not meet the acquirer's strategic objectives are removed from the portfolio. After such changes have been made, subsidiaries are given a lot of leeway. Attempts to integrate the business are motivated by particular possibilities to produce value, rather than by a belief in the importance of symmetrical organisations and processes. Top management is heavily involved in determining where to impose linkages; strategic integration is not a naturally bottom-up process. Intervention requires tremendous diplomatic expertise. (There are successful despots, but they are well-liked despots, and this is no coincidence.)Different Flavours, Different ChallengesIn these days of globalisation, hypercompetition, and quick technology development, most businesses require rapid strategy change. Many managers are drawn to achieving transformation through acquisition. By reviewing the record, I discovered that acquisitions come in a variety of flavours, each of which confronts managers with a unique set of obstacles. The advice is straightforward. M&A is only a means to an aim. There is no need for a corporation to take one of the more challenging courses available if the strategy is unclear.[5] Despite the vast amounts of ink

[5]Risk Management of Forming Enterprises Integration Corporate

written on the subject, we know surprisingly little about mergers and acquisitions. Our cumulative wisdom, in fact, can be summed up in a few simple sentences: Acquirers frequently overpay. Friendly transactions involving shares frequently do well. CEOs fall in love with transactions and fail to step away when necessary. Integration is difficult to achieve. However, some experts argue that M&A activity is not always beneficial to the organisations involved because most of these transactions result in job losses due to duplication or redundancy of roles across combined businesses, resulting in low employee morale and lower productivity levels.

Given that we are in the middle of the largest merger boom in history, the continued closure of businesses surrounding COVID-19 has generated major doubts about whether such large-scale corporate projects are still valuable. It appears that there are genuine grounds backing both sides today, emphasising the importance of conducting a focused study before jumping into an acquisition agreement hastily without properly evaluating its possible negatives firsthand. It's important to remember that M&A is not a natural bottom-up activity and requires considerable strategic planning and diplomatic skill to pull off successfully. Therefore, before embarking on an M&A deal, companies should conduct a thorough analysis of their strategic goals and evaluate whether the acquisition is the best means to achieve those goals. As managers consider the option of merger and acquisition, it is important to recognize that each deal presents unique challenges. However, if a clear strategy is in place and the potential benefits outweigh the risks, M&A can be a powerful tool for organizations to gain competitive advantages and increase their market share. If the strategy is unclear, there is no reason for a company to go down the difficult path of mergers and acquisitions. It's crucial for companies to conduct thorough research and properly evaluate the potential benefits and risks of an M&A deal before committing to it. While mergers and acquisitions have the potential to offer companies competitive advantages and increase market share, experts argue that they aren't always beneficial and can lead to job losses and poor morale among employees. It's time for companies to move beyond the hype surrounding M&A deals and instead focus on sound, evidence-based decision-making. In today's competitive business landscape, mergers and acquisitions can be a powerful tool for organizations looking to gain a competitive edge and increase their market share.

However, it is important to approach M&A deals with caution and conduct thorough research before committing to them. By conducting a focused study and proper evaluation, companies can better assess the potential negatives and benefits of an M&A deal. They can identify any potential challenges and develop strategies to mitigate them. This will ensure that the decision to pursue an M&A deal is informed and strategic, rather than a hasty gamble. Furthermore, organizations should not overlook the importance of cultural cohesion in successful mergers and acquisitions. Research has shown that a lack of cultural cohesion can hinder or even prevent the success of merger and acquisition activity. Therefore, it is crucial for companies to prioritize cultural integration and consider the compatibility of organizational cultures before proceeding with an M&A deal. By prioritizing cultural cohesion, companies can create a harmonious and unified post-merger environment that fosters collaboration and synergies between the merging entities, ultimately contributing to the success of the merger or acquisition. In addition, organizations should also consider factors such as organizational change, strategy, development management, and human resources when evaluating the success of M&A deals.[6]

CONCLUSION

The success of mergers and acquisitions is determined by various factors such as cultural cohesion, organizational change, strategy, development management, and human resources. While M&A deals have the potential to offer competitive advantages and increase market share for companies, it is important to approach them with caution and conduct thorough research before committing. Organizations should prioritize cultural integration to ensure a harmonious post-merger environment that fosters collaboration and synergies between merging entities. Furthermore, considering factors such as organizational change, strategy implementation, and effective HR management can contribute significantly to the success of M&A deals. By focusing on evidence-based decision-making and considering these factors, organizations can increase their chances of achieving successful mergers and acquisitions. In order to advance the understanding of the success factors in mergers and acquisitions, further research is needed. While existing studies have shed light on various aspects such as cultural cohesion, organizational change, strategy, development management, and human resources, there are still gaps that need to be filled. For instance, previous studies have pointed out that there are unspecified variables moderating the post-acquisition performance and unexplained variances regarding the effect of cultural differences on acquisition performance. These gaps

[6]A Phenomenological Investigation of Person-Organizational Fit: Characteristics and Leadership Styleshttps://scite.ai/reports/10.26668/businessreview/2022.v7i5.734

present an opportunity for future studies to explore other relevant factors related to successful mergers and acquisitions. By conducting more comprehensive research that combines multiple perspectives and takes into account various variables