

TRIUMPH OF EQUITY: LAXMI VS. UNION OF INDIA - A LANDMARK CASE FOR ACID ATTACK SURVIVORS

Esha Hans*

INTRODUCTION

The case of Laxmi vs. Union of India stands as a confirmation of the resilience of the human spirit and the power of law to address grave injustices. Born out of the harrowing experience of acid attack survivor Laxmi, this landmark case reshaped legal systems and societal attitudes toward victims of intolerable crimes. This comprehensive examination delves into the intricate details of the case, from Laxmi's ordeal to the legal battle, the significant issues at hand, the clash of arguments, the respondent's defense, the victorious verdict, and the ongoing struggle for implementation and justice. Through a detailed analysis, this article sheds light on the significant impact of Laxmi's courage and the enduring legacy of her fight for justice.

PRESENTATION: A PREFACE TO JUSTICE

Certain cases emerge as milestones of hope in the vast tapestry of legal history, illuminating the path toward justice and societal change. Laxmi vs. Union of India reaffirms the resilience of the human spirit and the ability of law to address the gravest injustices. This landmark case, born out of the traumatic experience of acid attack survivor Laxmi, not only reshaped legal frameworks but also catalyzed a significant shift in societal attitudes towards victims of such heinous crimes.

Laxmi's journey from a carefree teenager to an emblem of strength began with an act of unspeakable cruelty. At the tender age of 16, she found herself the target of an acid attack, a malicious act of revenge for her refusal to consent to a marriage proposal. The corrosive substance not only disfigured her physically but also inflicted deep emotional trauma, shattering her sense of security and leaving an indelible mark on her life.

Undeterred by her ordeal, Laxmi embarked on a courageous legal battle, seeking not only justice for herself but also systemic changes to prevent future atrocities. Filing a Public Interest Litigation (PIL) before the highest court of the land, she became the voice of countless victims

*BA LLB, FIRST YEAR, RAMAIAH INSTITUTE OF LEGAL STUDIES BANGALORE.

silenced by the scourge of acid violence. Her plea resonated with urgency, demanding swift and decisive action to address the root causes of such brutality.

The Significant Issues at Hand: Forging a Legal Framework for Justice

Central to Laxmi's crusade were three key issues that demanded immediate attention from the judiciary and policymakers. Firstly, the need for stringent laws specific to acid attacks was paramount, as existing statutes proved inadequate in addressing the severity of such crimes. Secondly, the unchecked availability of acid in the market posed a grave threat to public safety and warranted regulatory intervention. Lastly, the rehabilitation and compensation of survivors like Laxmi were essential for their physical and emotional recovery, ensuring their reintegration into society.

THE CLASH OF ARGUMENTS: ADVOCATING FOR CHANGE

The Petitioner argued that the ease of access to acid bottles and containers in the market facilitated such heinous crimes. The affordability and availability of acid, ranging from Rs.30 to Rs.40 per bottle, without any inquiry into the purpose of purchase, made it alarmingly easy for perpetrators to commit such extreme offenses. The only way to prevent such crimes is to restrict the availability of acid in the market by completely prohibiting its sale and distribution.

The laws of the land are designed to regulate human behavior. Rules, regulations, norms, and punishments for wrongdoing are all enshrined in the realm of law. The state must ensure that laws are updated and provide appropriate punishments for every offense.

For victims like Laxmi, who endured mental trauma and physical pain following the commission of the brutal offense, with the hope of reclaiming her face that was destroyed by the acid attackers, it is tragic to see that in our country there are no separate laws that can mete out punishments to such perpetrators and deliver justice to acid attack survivors. Instead of resorting to Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code to punish the accused for his wrongdoing, society must enact significant amendments in the penal code and procedural law. This is an egregious crime that should not be dealt with using generalized laws but rather addressed with separate legislation.

The petitioner also pleaded for the treatment of victims to be provided free of charge and for compensation to be awarded to acid attack survivors.

THE RESPONDENT'S DEFENSE: BALANCING CONTROL WITH REALITY

It was argued by the learned solicitor general that the central government would implement stringent regulations. Regulations governing the distribution of acid and its derivatives would be incorporated under the Poison Act across all states and union territories. Additionally, the regulations would encompass various types of acids and their distribution in both private and government sectors, regulating the authorization and permission for the distribution of acid in the market. It was contended that the punishments for such offenses under the Poison Act would be non-cognizable and non-bailable.

THE DECISION: A TRIUMPH OF EQUITY AND COMPASSION

The bench, comprising two judges, issued a landmark ruling that provided significant guidance for the advancement of laws about acid attack offenses. This ruling catalyzed a review of past cases related to acid attacks, leading to the preparation of reports and graphical representations illustrating the prevalence of such offenses across different states.

A pivotal outcome of this case was the amendment of laws in the Penal Code, specifically the insertion of sections 326A and 326B, addressing the throwing of acid offenses, and amendments to the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC) with the inclusion of sections 357A and 357C. These amendments mandated compensation for acid attack survivors and mandated free treatment for victims in both public and private hospitals.

Journal of Legal Research and Juridical Sciences

Furthermore, various schemes were introduced for the benefit of victims, including a directive from the Apex court to provide a minimum compensation of Rs. 3,00,000 to acid attack survivors. Additionally, victims were granted the right to receive treatment from any hospital at no expense.

Previously, acid attacks fell under the category of grievous hurt as per section 320 of the IPC. However, the court's ruling shed light on the inadequacies of existing laws and social norms, highlighting the need for separate legislation to address the unique challenges faced by acid attack survivors.

The provisions for prosecuting perpetrators of acid attacks were found to be insufficient and flawed, undermining the principles of equity and justice. This underscored the urgent need for dedicated laws to address such heinous offenses.

The judgment brought a sense of relief to acid attack survivors, setting a precedent for future legal proceedings. Before this ruling, victims were often forced to seek recourse under section 307 of the IPC, which pertains to attempted murder, due to the lack of specific provisions for acid attacks. This often resulted in challenges in securing justice, particularly when the injuries did not meet the criteria for attempted murder charges.

CHARTING A MODERN WAY: IMPLEMENTING CHANGE

While the legal triumphs were cause for celebration, the journey towards equity was far from over. Implementation remained a formidable challenge, with government action falling short of the lofty standards enshrined in the court's judgment. However, Laxmi's indomitable spirit and the collective resolve of survivors and activists alike continued to fuel the fight for a more just and equitable society. The road ahead was fraught with obstacles, but with each legal victory and act of solidarity, progress toward lasting change was made.

CONCLUSION: A CALL TO ACTION

As we reflect on the legacy of Laxmi vs. Union of India, we are reminded of our collective duty to stand up against injustice in all its forms. The battle against acid violence is far from over, but with each legal triumph and act of solidarity, we inch closer to a world where every individual can live without fear of having their beauty marred by brutality. In conclusion, it is incumbent upon not just the courts but our collective conscience to ensure that justice prevails and that the beauty of resilience shines brighter than any darkness.

The significance of Laxmi vs. Union of India transcends far beyond its legal implications. It serves as a poignant reminder of the power of one individual's courage to catalyze systemic change and inspire collective action. The legacy of this landmark case continues to resonate through society, challenging entrenched attitudes and norms that perpetuate violence and discrimination. As we strive towards a more just and equitable future, we must draw upon the lessons of Laxmi's journey, embracing empathy, solidarity, and unwavering resolve in the face of adversity.

EXPLANATION OF IPC SECTIONS

1. Section 326A - Punishment for acid attack: This section was inserted into the Indian Penal Code through legislative amendments following the Laxmi vs. Union of India case. It

specifically addresses the offense of acid attacks and prescribes punishment for perpetrators. According to this section, whoever causes permanent or partial damage or deformity to any part of the body of another person using acid shall be punished with imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than ten years but which may extend to imprisonment for life and with fine.

2. Section 326B - Punishment for attempted acid attack: Similar to Section 326A, this section was also introduced after the Laxmi vs. Union of India case to address attempted acid attacks. It stipulates that whoever attempts to cause permanent or partial damage or deformity to any part of the body of another person using acid shall be punished with imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than five years but which may extend to seven years, and shall also be liable to fine.

3. Section 357A - Compensation for acid attack victims: This section, added to the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC) after the Laxmi vs. Union of India case, mandates the provision of compensation to victims of acid attacks. It requires the court while awarding compensation to a victim under section 326A or section 376D of the Indian Penal Code, to take into consideration the medical expenses incurred by the victim as well as the compensation awarded to them under any other scheme.

4. Section 357C - Free medical treatment for acid attack victims: Another addition to the Criminal Procedure Code (CrPC), this section directs the State Government to ensure that the acid attack victim receives free medical treatment in any hospital, both government and private, where treatment of such victims is available until they are completely cured of acid-related injuries or medical complications arising from the attack.

These sections were crucial in addressing the inadequacies of existing laws in dealing with acid attacks and ensuring justice, compensation, and rehabilitation for survivors like Laxmi. They reflect the legal reforms necessitated by landmark cases that expose gaps in the legal framework and demand urgent action to protect the rights and dignity of victims.

REFERENCES

Samridhi Bhatt: <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-5332-laxmi-vs-union-of-india-a-case-analysis-and-application-of-jurisprudence.html>

Kritika Singh: <https://www.alec.co.in/judgement-page/a-landmark-judgment-on-guidelines-laid-down-by-sc-for-acid-attack-survivors-and-for-regulation-of-rules-regarding-availability-of-acid-for-sale>

