AI PERSONHOOD: THE PATH TO LEGAL RECOGNITION

Adarsh Ranjan Choudhury[*]

ABSTRACT

The advancement of Al technology and its pervasiveness across all areas of society has escalated the issue of the legal rights of Al entities. This essay examines the ramifications of acknowledging artificial intelligence (AI) as a legal entity, considering justifications for responsibility, incentives for innovation, and safeguarding All rights. It addresses issues including regulatory complexity, accountability, bias in AI decision-making, and ethical questions around Al's personality. Current legal precedents and initiatives, including Al's involvement in intellectual property and data privacy, are examined to comprehend current frameworks and their ramifications. To traverse the difficulties of Al rights, the paper suggests future strategies that emphasize improved governance, moral concerns, international cooperation, and public participation. Ultimately, it promotes a sensible approach that protects human values and interests while maximizing the advantages of AI. Politicians, legal scholars, and the general public must have this conversation to create a fair and responsible future in the face of swift technological progress.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, AI Personhood, Regulatory Frameworks, Ethical Considerations, Technological Advancements.

INTRODUCTION

Modern technological innovation is led by artificial intelligence (AI), which radically changes economies, society, and industries worldwide. Al entities' legal standing and rights are hotly debated due to the quick development of Al technologies, which range from sophisticated decision-making algorithms to driverless cars.

Modem Al systems, in contrast to traditional tools and machinery, display degrees of autonomy and cognitive complexity that challenge established legal frameworks. Whether Al entities should be given legal personhood and acknowledged as beings with legal rights and obligations raises basic concerns in light of this progress.

---

[*]BA LLB, THIRD YEAR, SOA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW, BHUBANESWAR.

The idea behind Al personhood is to treat sophisticated Al systems like human beings, allowing them to make agreements, possess property, and be held responsible for their deeds. Proponents contend that this kind of acknowledgement is vital to guarantee accountability and transparency in the development and use of AL and stimulate economic growth and innovation[1].

However, detractors point out that Al's systems lack moral agency, cognition, and feelings, raising ethical questions about granting Al legal personality. They contend that conventional legal precepts formulated for human players might not apply to non-human actors, posing problems about accountability, supervision, and ethics.

The discussion around Al's personality and legal rights is crucial to the future of government, innovation, and human-machine relationships as these technologies continue to grow and penetrate many facets of society. This essay delves into these intricate matters, scrutinizing ethical considerations, technical developments, global viewpoints, and regulatory strategies to offer a thorough comprehension of the dynamic field of artificial intelligence jurisprudence.

THE CONCEPT OF AL PERSONHOOD

Defining Al's personhood at the nexus of law and technology is controversial. It suggests that sophisticated artificial intelligence systems need to be treated like human beings with rights and obligations, challenging conventional legal definitions in the process. Granting Al personhood, according to supporters, would make it easier for them to integrate into society by enabling them to sign contracts, own property, and take part in court processes. In addition to providing clarity on responsibility and accountability concerns, this acknowledgement might guarantee the proper allocation of duties for Al acts.

However, the idea of personality for Al presents ethical questions. Critics argue that moral agency, conscience, and other essential human characteristics are absent from AI and that these characteristics are essential to our conception of legal personhood. Some contend that without these qualities, AI could not exercise the same legal rights and obligations as people. And to think about privacy invasion, algorithmic prejudice, and possible abuse of Al is only one issue that makes it difficult to provide legal personality to non-human entities. Balancing innovation and ethical issues will shape future legal frameworks about Al technology.

---

[1] L B Solum, 'Legal Personhood for Artificial Intelligences' (1992) 70(4) North Carolina Law Review 1238, 1239

DIFFICULTIES AND THINGS TO KEEP IN MIND

The issues and concerns related to Al's personality are intricate and wide-ranging. Liability and responsibility are keyconcerns since Al lacks moral agency and consciousness, making it difficult to assign blame for decisions or acts taken by Al that result in harm. The legal frameworks today impose culpability on human actors on the basis of intent, negligence, or strict liability, but when these concepts are applied to artificial intelligence (Al), which runs on algorithms and functions independently, new ethical and legal dilemmas arise[2].

There are also many ethical issues. Legal rights for Al have the potential to reduce human accountability and erode societal norms pertaining to dignity and autonomy. If Al is given personhood without adequate protections against problems like algorithmic prejudice and privacy infringement, there are concerns about its abuse. The ethical environment is made more difficult by the concentration of power among Al creators or owners.

To make Al personhood a practical reality, it is necessary to establish precise standards for identifying which Al systems are eligible and in what situations. It is crucial yet difficult to establish strong governance structures that guarantee accountability, transparency, and moral use of AL. In order to address worldwide concerns about the ethical and legal consequences of Al personhood and to unify norms across countries, international collaboration will be essential.

Interdisciplinary cooperation between legal professionals, ethicists, legislators, technologists, and stakeholders is required to address these issues. Securing human rights and social values while promoting innovation through well-balanced regulatory frameworks will be essential to determining the direction of Al governance in the future.

EXISTING LEGAL STRUCTURES

Though they haven't been formalized as legal persons yet, current legal frameworks are changing to meet the difficulties presented by Al technology. For example, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union emphasizes responsibility. transparency, and the protection of individual rights while addressing Al and automated decision-making.

---

[2] Marcelo Corrales and Mark Fenwick, Robotics, Al and the Future of Law - Do We Need New Legal Personhood in the Age of Robots and Al (Perspectives in Law, Business and Innovation)

These rules seek to ensure ethical usage, foster innovation, and reduce the hazards related to AL.

The regulatory approaches to artificial intelligence in the United States consist of a combination of federal agency directives, industry self-regulation, and sector-specific rules. Transparency, explainability, and fairness in Al systems are the main topics of standards and recommendations being developed by organizations like the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) to encourage trustworthy Al.

Despite these initiatives, there is still disagreement on the optimal ways to govern Al technology, especially when it comes to questions of accountability, culpability, and assigning legal duty. The dynamic nature of Al development calls for adaptable regulatory strategies that protect basic rights and social interests while keeping up with the speed at which technology is developing. In order to address the ethical and legal issues of Al's personhood and guarantee that Al's technologies benefit society, it will be imperative to build comprehensive and internationally harmonized regulatory frameworks as Al continues to advance.

ASPECTS OF ETHICS

The ethical implications of granting Al legal rights are substantial and concern justice, fairness, and human autonomy. One of the main worries is that consumers and inventors of Al may become less accountable, which might lower the motivation for ethical Al research. Since Al lacks moral agency and consciousness, it is unlikely that it will ever truly have legal rights and obligations. Opponents contend that acknowledging AI as sentient entities runs the risk ofcommodifying them and eroding the inherent worth of human life.

Transparency, accountability, and the possibility of unforeseen outcomes like algorithmic biases and privacy violations are other ethical difficulties. To guarantee that Al technologies preserve society's values and advance the common good, serious thought and proactive steps are needed to strike a balance between innovation and ethical issues[3].

ECONOMIC AND EMPLOYMENT REPERCUSSIONS

Among debates about Al's personhood, the effect of Al on jobs and the economy is a crucial issue. The rapid use of Al raises concerns about job displacement even while it offers efficiency

---

[3] Marcelo Corrales and Mark Fenwick, Robotics, Al and the Future of Law - Do We Need New Legal Personhood in the Age of Robots and Al (Perspectives in Law, Business and Innovation)

improvements and innovation across industries, including manufacturing, shipping, and customer service. Al-driven automation has the potential to drastically alter labour markets by replacing repetitive work, possibly escalating economic disparities and disproportionately impacting disadvantaged groups.

Al offers potential for economic growth and the creation of new jobs despite these obstacles. Supporting Al development and ethical governance requires the emergence of new positions like data scientists, Al ethicists, and Al system trainers. Al-driven inventions can also improve global competitiveness and open up new markets, which will help the economy grow.

A proactive policy is needed to address Al's economic effects. These ought to include fostering workforce flexibility, providing fair sharing of productivity gains caused by Al, and providing assistance to displaced workers through reskilling initiatives. Harnessing Al's full potential while preserving social well-being will require striking a balance between the possibility of job displacement and prospects for new employment and economic growth.

GLOBAL VIEWS

Global viewpoints on Al's personhood differ greatly, reflecting various legal, cultural, and socioeconomic contexts. Al innovation has been welcomed by nations like South Korea and Japan, which have created legal frameworks that enable technology growth while addressing ethical issues. These countries encourage responsible Al development by enacting policies that place a strong emphasis on responsibility, transparency, and human supervision.

Strict laws like the GDPR establish high criteria for Al governance in the European Union (EU) to safeguard privacy and individual rights[4]. European methods emphasize human-centric Al, encouraging moral standards and social ideals in the creation and use of AL.

All regulatory systems in the US are distinguished by industry-specific laws and federal agency recommendations. There is continuous discussion over the need for comprehensive Al laws to handle ethical issues, including prejudice and privacy concerns, all the while fostering innovation. In order to ensure responsibility in Al applications, efforts are being made to strike a balance between ethical and economic rewards.

---

[4] IEEE, 'Global Adoption of Data Privacy Laws and Regulations' (IEEE), <https://digitalprivacy.ieee.org/publications/topics/global-adoption-of-data-privacy-laws-and-regulations>accessed 19 June 2024

It is still difficult to bring these diverse viewpoints on Al's personality into harmony. Establishing common norms and principles that promote ethical Al deployment worldwide, building confidence, and successfully handling ethical and legal issues will need cooperation.

TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS AND DIFFICULTIES

Artificial Intelligence is revolutionizing businesses and society globally at a rapid pace. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems are becoming more accurate and efficient at handling increasingly complicated tasks because of advances in machine learning algorithms, deep neural networks, and natural language processing. These developments have expanded the use of Al in a variety of industries, including healthcare, banking, entertainment, and transportation.

However, there are also big obstacles that come with these developments. The opaqueness of Al's decision-making processes is a significant worry. Large volumes of data and intricate algorithms are frequently used by Al systems, making it challenging to determine the logic underlying their choices. Concerns regarding justice and accountability are raised by this lack of transparency, particularly in high-stakes applications like driverless cars or medical diagnostics.

Furthermore, there is a gap between legal supervision and technical capabilities since the growth of Al is happening at a faster rate than ethical standards and regulatory frameworks. In order to meet these problems, proactive steps must be taken to guarantee that Al technologies are created and applied in a way that respects moral principles, encourages openness, and reduces hazards like algorithmic bias and privacy invasion.

ACCOUNTABILITY AND LIABILITY IN LAW

Because Al systems are independent, legal accountability and responsibility in the context of Al create complex issues. Because Al lacks moral agency and consciousness, it complicates the traditional concepts of legal accountability, unlike human decision-makers. The majority of the legal systems in place today assign accountability to human actors based on ideas like purpose, carelessness, or strict liability, which may be difficult to apply to actions produced by artificial intelligence[5].

---

[5] Olivia Barbar, 'Artificial Intelligence Decision Making' (In Data Labs,4 June 2024)
<https://indatalabs.com/blog/artificial- intelligence-decision-making\> accessed 17 June 2024

It's still up for debate who is ultimately accountable for Al's behaviour: the Al system itself, its creator, manufacturer, or user. To address possible damages produced by Al, such as accidents involving autonomous cars or biased choices in automated systems, it is imperative to establish clear criteria for culpability and duty.

Legislation pertaining to Al's liability aims to create legal frameworks that assign culpability according to variables such as the degree of autonomy, the reason for deploying Al, and the foreseeability of harm. To unify these strategies and create uniform norms that foster innovation while protecting people and society from the possible hazards posed by Al technology, international cooperation is essential.

APPROACHES TO REGULATIONS

Global regulatory approaches to Al differ, reflecting different viewpoints on how to strike a balance between innovation and social and ethical issues. Strict laws like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union (EU) impose strict criteria for Al applications to safeguard privacy and individual rights. The proposed Artificial Intelligence Act by the European Union seeks to create a complete legal framework that assigns risk categories to Al systems and places requirements on openness, accountability, and human monitoring[6].

On the other hand, the US takes a sector-specific approach, supervising Al applications in healthcare, consumer protection, and financial services through the application of current rules and regulations from government agencies such as the FDA and FTC. This strategy addresses ethical issues like prejudice and discrimination in Al systems while emphasizing adaptability to handle the fast growth of technology[7].

Other nations, like South Korea and Japan, foster innovation in artificial intelligence by enacting laws that encourage responsible research and use[8]. These countries place a high priority on moral Al usage rules and seek to build public confidence while advancing

---

[6] Valerie Simonart, 'Artificial Intelligence and Legal Personality' (Liedekerke, 5 October 2022) <https://liedekerke.com/en/insights/artificial-intelligence-and-legal-personality >accessed 16 June 2024.

[7] Dr. Liji Thomas, "What is the Role of Regulatory Bodies in Healthcare?" (News Medical Life Sciences, 7 December 2021) <https://www.news-medical.net/health/What-is-the-Role-of-Regulatory- Bodies-in-Healthcare.aspx\> accessed 16 June 2024

[8] IBTEKR, 'How South Korea Has Developed a World Leading Al Ecosystem' (IBTEKR, 6 May 2023) <https://ibtekr.org/en/cases/how-south-korea-has-developed-a-world-leading-ai-ecosystem/\/> accessed 16June 2024

technological innovation-driven economic growth. Harmonizing legal frameworks across borders will be essential to ensuring uniform norms that support the ethical and responsible application of Al technology.

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND HUMAN RIGHTS

Al and human rights provide both difficult problems and exciting potential. All technologies have the capacity to advance human rights in a number of areas while also posing a danger to them. Applications that are beneficial include strengthening disaster response capacities, boosting education, and expanding access to healthcare. On the other hand, issues with algorithmic bias, invasions of privacy, and loss of personal liberty surface[9].

Particularly in sectors like employment, housing, and criminal justice, where biases may have unintentionally been ingrained into algorithms, Al systems have the potential to maintain or worsen already-existing inequities. Robust legal and ethical frameworks are necessary to guarantee Al respects essential human rights, including freedom of expression, non-discrimination, and privacy. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights are two examples of international human rights legislation and treaties that offer fundamental guidelines for tackling these issues.

Integrating moral standards, encouraging openness in Al's decision-making procedures, and strengthening accountability frameworks are some of the steps taken to protect human rights in Al's development. To reduce dangers and optimize the positive effects of Al technology on international human rights norms, cooperation between governments, tech businesses, civil society, and international organizations is essential.

PUBLIC VIEWS AND BELIEFS

Key elements influencing Al's acceptance and societal integration include public opinion and faith in the technology. Although Al has the potential to improve efficiency, convenience, and creativity, there are still concerns among the public about its possible hazards and ethical ramifications. The public is becoming more sceptical and uneasy because of issues including employment displacement, privacy concerns, and biases in AI decision-making systems.

---

[9] Volker Turk, UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'Artificial intelligence must be grounded in human rights, says High Commissioner' (UNITED NATIONS OHCHR, 12 July 2023) <https://www.otichr.org/en/statements/2023/07/artificial intelligence must be grounded-human- rights-says-high-commissioner >accessed 19 June 2024

Transparency, accountability, and proactive measures to address social issues are necessary for establishing confidence in Al. To control expectations and promote public comprehension, it is crucial to communicate effectively about Al's capabilities, limits, and ethical standards. Furthermore, obtaining public acceptance of AI technologies depends on ensuring that they are created and used in ways that respect fundamental rights and ethical norms.

Government monitoring and regulation, which creates frameworks that put safety, justice, and accountability first, are crucial in influencing how the general public views artificial intelligence. Ensuring that a range of viewpoints and concerns are taken into account in Al governance and involving stakeholders, including customers, advocacy organizations, and business professionals, in policy talks may help improve trust. In the end, building public confidence in Al necessitates cooperative efforts to support ethical innovation and resolve worries about the technology's potential effects on society.[10]

NEW DEVELOPMENTS AND PROSPECTS

Artificial intelligence (AI) trends that are only starting to emerge point to significant industry changes. One trend is the confluence of biotechnology, robotics, and quantum computing with Al to improve capacities in transportation, healthcare, and customized medicine[11]. Another area of attention is ethical Al, which uses rules and laws to handle issues like prejudice and privacy.

With environmental monitoring and predictive modelling, Al has the ability to address global issues like pandemics and climate change. Looking ahead, artificial intelligence (AI) calls for ethical and legal issues in addition to innovation. Tofully realize Al's potential and ensure equity and accountability in its research and application, cooperation between different industries and countries will be essential. The way these variables are balanced will determine Al's future place in society and have an impact on everything from social well-being to economic progress.

CONCLUSION

To sum up, the conversation around Al's personhood highlights how technical advancement, moral issues, and 21st-century legal frameworks interact. The argument over giving artificial

---

[10] Stephen Sanford, 'How to Build Accountability Into Your Al' (Harvard Business Review, 11 August 2021) <https://hbr.org/2021/08/how-to-build-accountability-into-your-al\> accessed 17 June 2024

[11] Dr. George Laliotis 'Al in Medical Diagnosis, How AI is transforming Healthcare' (DOCUS, 12 May 2024) <https://docus.al/blog/ai in medical-diagnosis > accessed 15 June 2024

intelligence (AI) entities legal rights and obligations is still very much alive and well, given how quickly Al is developing.

The ethical conundrums raised by Al's personhood primarily concern openness, accountability, and the preservation of human autonomy and dignity. Although artificial intelligence (AI) systems provide previously unheard-of opportunities for efficiency and innovation in a variety of sectors, worries about algorithmic biases, privacy violations, and the social effects of autonomous decision-making still exist.

International viewpoints on regulations differ greatly, representing different strategies for striking a balance between innovation and social and ethical considerations. While some nations, like the EU, prioritize strict laws to safeguard individual rights, others develop more adaptable frameworks to keep up with the rapid improvement of technology.

In order to create precise ethical standards and legal frameworks, legislators, technologists, ethicists, and stakeholders must work together to solve these issues in the future. Ensuring the responsible development and implementation of Al technology is vital in order to protect human rights and advance social welfare. Through deliberate and proactive navigation of these intricacies, we can use Al's revolutionary potential while reducing risks and building confidence in its role in determining our future.