EFFECTIVENESS OF CYBER LAWS IN PROSECUTING PERPETRATORS OF ONLINE HATE CRIMES

Isha Anshul Gupta[*]

INTRODUCTION

With increasing internet usage and a diverse population, the issue of online hate speech is common. Online hate crimes encompass any illegal acts harrying individuals or groups online based on their perceived identity, including race, religion, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, or other protected characteristics. These acts are intended to intimidate, threaten, harass, or incite violence against the targeted group or individual. This research investigates this complex subject, including its prevalence, social impact, and proposed remedies. In recent times, the expansion of online networks has accelerated the spread of hate speech, posing significant issues for worldwide society.

Online hate crimes can take the form of malicious communications, cyberbullying, cyberstalking, hate speech, and stimulating violence. In online hate crimes, online content remains hosted indefinitely and can spread rapidly to a large audience, perpetrators often remain anonymous, and posting hateful attitudes online can motivate negative acts in the real world.[1]

Cyberlaw plays a crucial role in prosecuting perpetrators of online hate crimes by establishing legal frameworks that define offenses, outline penalties, and guide law enforcement actions. It enables the investigation and prosecution of hate speech and cyber harassment, balancing the need for free expression with the protection of individuals' rights.[2] The effectiveness of cyber laws in prosecuting perpetrators of online hate crimes is a complicated issue induced by various aspects, including legal frameworks, enforcement challenges, and the nature of online communication. Reforms in regulation, together with amendments to the Information Technology Act and the advent of middleman criminal responsibility guidelines, have aimed to lessen the spread of hate speech online.

---

[*]BA LLB, THIRD YEAR, AMITY UNIVERSITY, RANCHI, JHARKHAND.
[1] https://www.esafety.gov.au/young-people/online-hate
https://www.stophateuk.org/about-hate-crime/what-is-online-hate-crime/
[2] https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/cyber-law-ensuring-security-safeguarding-rights-age-srivastava

PREVALENCE OF ONLINE HATE CRIMES

The prevalence of online hate crimes has surged, significantly impacting societal dynamics and minority groups. Studies indicate that hate speech online correlates with increased violence against minorities, including lynching and ethnic cleansing.[3] Social media platforms often amplify these sentiments, with hate speech targeting various groups based on ethnicity, religion, and gender.

The challenge lies in regulating this content, as laws vary globally, leading to inconsistent enforcement. While some countries impose strict regulations, others prioritize free speech, complicating efforts to combat online hate effectively.[4]

The prevalence of online hate crimes is significant, with studies indicating that a large proportion of individuals experience such abuse. In the UK, 78% of LGBT+ respondents reported experiencing online hate crimes in the last five years, with 46% facing over 21 incidents. In Canada, from 2018 to 2022, reported cyber-related hate crimes increased from 92 to 219, with 82% being violent. In the U.S., online hate speech affects about one-third of internet users, and hate crimes have ended up at their highest levels since 2008.[5] This underscores the urgent need for effective reporting mechanisms and support systems.

In India, the prevalence of online hate crimes has also seen a notable rise. A Microsoft report indicated that online hate speech incidents doubled to 26% in 2020 compared to 2016. Additionally, a 2018 study by the Observer Research Foundation highlighted that religion-based hate incidents increased from 19% to 30% within a year. The lack of specific laws targeting online hate speech exacerbates the issue, with existing regulations primarily addressing broader hate crimes under the Indian Penal Code.[6] As internet access expands, exposure to online hate continues to grow, impacting marginalized communities significantly.

---

[3] https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/hate-speech-social-media-global-comparisons
https://www.infosecawareness.in/concept/student/online-hate-crimes
[4] https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2020/655135/IPOL_STU%282020%29655135_EN.pdf
[5] https://www.report-it.org.uk/files/online-crime-2020_0.pdf
https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/240227/dq240227b-eng.htm
https://www.gao.gov/blog/online-extremism-growing-problem-whats-being-done-about-it
https://www.comparitech.com/blog/information-security/online-hate-crime-statistics/
[6] https://botpopuli.net/why-india-needs-a-legal-instrument-to-tackle-online-hate/
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4732818

TYPES OF ONLINE HATE

Direct threats

Sending threatening messages directly to an individual or posting threats against them publicly, such as "You'll regret ever speaking out, [slur]," or "Someone should teach [group] a lesson."

Cyberstalking

Using electronic communication to harass or stalk someone with hateful intent, including persistent messaging, tracking online activity, or spreading malicious rumors.

Doxing

Publicly revealing someone's private information online, like their home address or workplace, with the objective of inciting harassment or violence against them.

Hate speech

Spreading hateful content that incites violence, discrimination, or hostility towards a protected group, such as racist slurs, homophobic comments, or religious insults. This can manifest in social media posts, comments, forum discussions, blogs, or websites.

Inciting violence

Encouraging others to commit violent acts against individuals or groups based on their protected characteristics.

Sharing hateful imagery

Distributing hateful symbols, images, or videos intended to intimidate or threaten a specific group, such as sharing swastikas or burning crosses.[7]

These forms of online hate can manifest through text, images, videos, and audio, often remaining accessible indefinitely.

---

[7] https://www.stophateuk.org/about-hate-crime/what-is-online-hate-crime/
https://www.comparitech.com/blog/information-security/online-hate-crime-statistics/

LEGAL FRAMEWORKS

India's current legal frameworks for addressing online hate speech face significant challenges, primarily due to the absence of specific laws targeting this issue. Instead, existing provisions in the Indian Penal Code (Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,2024), such as Sections 153A and 295A (Sections 196 and 299 of BNS), impose restrictions on speech but are often criticized for being vague and subject to misuse.

The Supreme Court has highlighted the need for a dedicated law to regulate online hate speech, yet legislative reforms remain slow. The Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, while attempting to impose content moderation responsibilities on platforms, have been criticized for lacking clarity and potentially infringing on free speech rights.

Overall, the effectiveness of India's legal frameworks is limited, necessitating comprehensive reforms to adequately tackle online hate speech while balancing freedom of expression [8]

India has adopted several legislative reforms to approach online hate crimes successfully :

Indian Penal Code / (BNS) Amendments

Sections 153A and 295A (Sections 196 and 299 of BNS), criminalize acts promoting enmity and outrage against religious feelings, respectively, providing a framework for prosecuting hate speech.

Representation of People's Act, 1951

This act includes provisions that prevent individuals convicted of hate speech from contesting elections, thereby discouraging hate speech in political contexts.

Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989

This law specifically addresses hate speech targeting marginalized communities, enhancing protections for vulnerable groups.

---

[8] https://botpopuli.net/why-india-needs-a-legal-instrument-to-tackle-online-hate/]
https://ijlmh.com/online-hate-speech-in-india-issues-and-regulatory-challenges/

Law Commission Reports

The 267th and 273rd reports recommend reforms to strengthen hate speech laws, including recognizing incitement to hatred based on gender and sexual orientation.

Proposed Reforms to the Information Technology Act

Ongoing discussions aim to enhance regulations governing online platforms and their responsibilities in moderating hate speech.

These reforms reflect India's efforts to build a more robust legal framework for prosecuting online hate crimes while balancing free speech rights.[9]

Many different countries approach the regulation of online hate speech in diverse methods, reflecting their legal frameworks and cultural contexts. Many European countries, like Germany and Belgium, have stringent laws against hate speech, with Germany criminalizing incitement to hatred and imposing fines on social media platforms for failing to remove illegal content promptly. The U.S. prioritizes free speech under the First Amendment, limiting hate speech regulation primarily to instances that incite violence, resulting in a more permissive environment for hate speech. In Australia hate speech laws vary by jurisdiction, providing protections against discrimination based on race, ethnicity, and other characteristics. In South Korea, while lacking explicit hate speech laws, there is growing public support for regulation, particularly against hate targeting marginalized groups, leading to legislative initiatives. Some countries advocate for aligning hate speech regulations with international human rights standards, emphasizing the role of social media companies in content moderation.[10] These varied approaches highlight the complex balance between protecting free expression and combating hate speech globally.

Countries that have successfully prosecuted online hate crimes have implemented key legislative reforms, including :

---

[9] https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4732818
https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/hate-speech-5
[10] https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/hate-speech-5
https://shura.shu.ac.uk/6901/1/Banks_regulating_hate_speech.pdf
https://brill.com/view/journals/auso/41/1/article-p127_6.xml?language=en

Germany

The 2017 Network Enforcement Act requires social media platforms to eliminate hate speech within 24 hours or face hefty fines, enhancing accountability for online content.

United Kingdom

Reforms proposed by the Law Commission aim to equalize protections across all hate crime categories, ensuring comprehensive coverage for marginalized groups, including those targeted based on sexual orientation and disability.

Belgium

The Anti-Racism Law criminalizes acts motivated by racism or xenophobia, providing a legal basis for prosecuting hate speech.

Council of Europe

The 2022 Recommendation on combating hate speech encourages member states to adopt comprehensive approaches that align with human rights standards, influencing national laws.[11]

These reforms demonstrate a commitment to addressing online hate while balancing free expression rights.

CASE LAWS

Landmark cases in India have addressed online hate crimes:

Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015)

This case challenged the constitutionality of Section 66A of the IT Act, which penalized offensive online content. The Supreme Court struck it down, emphasizing the significance of free speech, thus impacting the prosecution of online hate speech.

---

[11] https://www.brookes.ac.uk/research/research-impact-showcase/fighting-hate-crime-through-legal-reform
https://www.coe.int/en/web/cyberviolence/online-hate-speech-and-hate-crime

Shaheen Abdulla v. Union of India (2022)

This case involved the misuse of social media for spreading hate speech, reinforcing the need for accountability in online platforms.

Pravasi Bhalai Sangathan v. Union of India (2014)

This case highlighted the role of hate speech in inciting violence, leading to calls for stricter regulations against hate crimes online.[12]

Landmark cases outside India have addressed online hate crimes:

Shepherd and Whittle Case (UK)

This case involved the prosecution of individuals for distributing hate speech online, demonstrating the application of existing hate crime laws to internet content.

Australian Holocaust Denier Arrested in Germany

An Australian citizen was apprehended in Germany for promoting Holocaust denial online, showcasing international cooperation in enforcing hate speech laws across borders.[13]

CPS Wessex in the UK, has prosecuted numerous hate crime cases, securing increased sentences for perpetrators. In one case, a man received a 4-month prison sentence for a homophobic assault, up from 3 months. In another, a woman's curfew was extended from 80 to 120 days for homophobic assaults and criminal damage.

In Miami, Florida, researchers collaborated with law enforcement and prosecutors to improve anti-LGBTQ hate crime reporting. They found that providing training on recognizing bias indicators and establishing evidence led to a 35% increase in hate crime investigations and a 30% increase in charges filed.[14]

Despite existing laws, the framework is criticized for inadequacies in addressing the evolving nature of cyber crimes, necessitating reforms to enhance effectiveness against

---

[12] https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/hate-crimes-in-india
https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-updates/daily-news-analysis/hate-speech-5
[13] https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/8/5/68750.pdf
[14] https://www.cps.gov.uk/wessex/news/cps-wessex-successful-hate-crime-cases-march-2024
https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/articles/using-research-improve-hate-crime-reporting-and-identification
https://www.cps.gov.uk/wessex/news/cps-wessex-successful-hate-crime-cases-august-and-september-2022

online hate speech and related offenses.

ENFORCEMENT CHALLENGES

The anonymity of the internet complicates the identification of offenders, making it difficult for law enforcement to build cases. As noted in several studies, online hate speech can spread rapidly across platforms, often outpacing legal responses. In addition, the jurisdictional issues inherent in the global nature of the internet further complicate enforcement efforts, as perpetrators can easily relocate or use pseudonyms to evade accountability.[15]

Cyber laws have had limited effectiveness in prosecuting perpetrators of online hate crimes due to several challenges as the global nature of the internet makes it difficult to enforce national laws extraterritorially against material uploaded from other jurisdictions. Countries have widely divergent laws on hate speech, with the US First Amendment providing strong protections compared to many other nations. Relying on traditional measures used to combat offline hate crimes is insufficient, as online hate speech can create an environment conducive to offline hate crimes and lead to harmful outcomes like radicalization, violence, prejudice, and emotional distress for the target and also more targeted approaches are needed to capture the complex and subtle harms of online hate speech, such as its ability to bolster hate movements and impact certain groups' enjoyment of the internet.[16]

A globalized approach with international cooperation is necessary, as perpetrators and victims are often in different countries. ISPs should be held partially responsible for enabling hate speech that comes to their attention. Effective prosecution is hindered by a lack of capacity and expertise in criminal justice systems to analyze and interpret internet content. Specialized training for law enforcement and prosecutors on internet-related hate crime cases is needed. In summary, while cyber laws prohibit incitement to violence, their impact is limited. A comprehensive response requires international cooperation, targeted legislation, and building capacity to investigate and prosecute online hate crimes.[17]

---

[15] https://www.infosecawareness.in/concept/student/online-hate-crimes
[16] https://shura.shu.ac.uk/6901/1/Banks_regulating_hate_speech.pdf
https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/304532.pdf
[17] https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/file/ae0f92df-5a6c-46b2-9e2d-068528ce48e5/1/regulating%20hate%20crime%20in%20the%20digital%20age_bakalis.pdf
https://www.osce.org/files/f/documents/8/5/68750.pdf

STRATEGIES TO ENHANCE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROSECUTING ONLINE HATE CRIMES

Establishing clear, accessible channels for victims to report incidents can significantly increase reporting rates, as most of the hate crimes go unreported due to fear or lack of knowledge.[18]Providing specialized training for police officers on recognizing and handling hate crimes will improve their ability to respond effectively and support victims.

Regulating online anonymity can help hold perpetrators accountable, as many abusive actions are facilitated by anonymous accounts. Programs like the United Against Hate initiative can foster trust between law enforcement and communities, encouraging more victims to come forward.[19] These measures can collectively strengthen the prosecution of online hate crimes and ensure justice for victims.

BARRIERS TO REPORTING HATE CRIMES

Language barriers are the most significant factor contributing to underreporting of online hate crimes. Many victims, particularly those from ethnic communities and immigrants who are not fluent in English, may not feel sanguine to report as they will be understood if they report an incident. Those with communication impairments or disabilities may also have difficulty articulating what occurred or understanding the questions they are asked during the reporting process. The lack of reporting options in multiple languages and the failure of reporting systems to adjust procedures to accommodate victims' linguistic needs create additional barriers to reporting. As a result, many victims are unable to communicate the abuse they have experienced due to the deficit of appropriate language support and communication aids.

To address this issue, reporting mechanisms should be made accessible in multiple languages, and law enforcement should receive training on how to assist victims with language barriers. Targeted outreach campaigns in various languages can also help inform communities about hate crime reporting procedures and encourage victims to come

---

[18] https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/articles/using-research-improve-hate-crime-reporting-and-identification
[19] https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/8669/documents/89002/default/
https://www.justice.gov/hatecrimes/spotlight/combating-hate-crimes

forward.[20]

OTHER BARRIERS:

Internal Barriers

Victims may internalize beliefs that normalize hate victimization, leading to hopelessness and a lack of awareness about reporting mechanisms. Many feel that their experiences are not serious enough to warrant action or believe that nothing will change if they report.

Fear of Consequences

Victims often fear retaliation or escalation of violence, particularly in marginalized communities. Concerns about being outed or facing discrimination from authorities can also deter reporting.[21]

Lack of Trust

Previous negative experiences with law enforcement can lead to distrust, making victims hesitant to engage with the police.

Accessibility Issues

Lack of information about where and how to report incidents further complicates the reporting process.[22]

SOCIO-CULTURAL IMPLICATIONS

The socio-cultural implications of not addressing online hate speech in India are significant and multifaceted :

---

[20] https://tacklinghate.org/trainingmodule/hate-crime-reporting-barriers-why-victims-of-hate-related-incidents-are-reluctant-to-report2/
https://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_uploads/fra-2021-hate-crime-reporting_en.pdf
[21] https://tacklinghate.org/trainingmodule/hate-crime-reporting-barriers-why-victims-of-hate-related-incidents-are-reluctant-to-report2/
https://galop.org.uk/resource/barriers-to-reporting-anti-lgbt-hate-crime/
[22] https://le.ac.uk/-/media/uol/docs/research-centres/hate-studies/research-reports/identifying-barriers-and-solutions-to-reporting.pdf
https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0032258X19855113?download=true

Marginalization of Communities

The absence of specific laws allows hate speech to proliferate, disproportionately affecting marginalized groups such as Dalits and religious minorities, leading to further social exclusion and discrimination.

Erosion of Social Cohesion

Online hate speech fosters division and polarization among communities, undermining social harmony and trust, which are essential for a functioning democracy.

Incitement to Violence

Unchecked hate speech can incite real-world violence, as seen in incidents like the 2020 Delhi riots, where online discourse translated into offline aggression.

Impact on Mental Health

Victims of online hate speech frequently experience psychological distress, leading to anxiety, depression, and a sense of isolation, particularly among targeted individuals.

Threat to Democratic Values

The normalization of hate speech undermines democratic discourse, stifling free expression and dissent, as individuals may fear backlash for voicing their opinions.

Global Reputation

India's failure to effectively combat online hate speech can damage its international standing, affecting diplomatic relations and soft power, particularly with countries concerned about human rights.

Cultural Backlash

The rise of hate speech may provoke counter-movements, leading to cultural clashes and further entrenching divisions within society.[23]

---

[23] https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4732818
https://thediplomat.com/2020/10/online-hate-speech-is-a-challenge-for-indias-foreign-policy/
https://botpopuli.net/why-india-needs-a-legal-instrument-to-tackle-online-hate/

Addressing online hate speech is crucial for fostering a more inclusive, tolerant, and resilient society in India.

ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS

Social media companies play a crucial role in moderating content. Effective moderation requires collaboration between these platforms, governments, and civil society to develop nuanced policies that address hate speech without infringing on free speech rights. A human-centered approach that considers local contexts can enhance the effectiveness of content moderation efforts.[24]

Social media platforms can enhance user support in reporting hate speech through several strategies :

User-Friendly Reporting Tools

Simplifying the reporting process with clear, accessible interfaces and step-by-step guidance can encourage users to report hate speech more effectively.

Transparency and Feedback

Providing users with feedback on their reports and transparency about actions taken can build trust and encourage more reporting.

Training and Resources

Offering educational resources on recognizing hate speech and understanding reporting procedures can empower users to act.

Community Moderation

Implementing community-driven moderation systems where users can flag content collectively may improve detection and response times.

---

[24] https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/file/ae0f92df-5a6c-46b2-9e2d-068528ce48e5/1/regulating%20hate%20crime%20in%20the%20digital%20age_bakalis.pdf
https://www.coe.int/en/web/cyberviolence/online-hate-speech-and-hate-crime

Regular Updates and Communication

Keeping users informed about policy changes and improvements in hate speech handling can help maintain engagement and awareness.[25]

AWARENESS ABOUT HATE CRIME REPORTING

To increase awareness about hate crime reporting procedures, several strategies can be employed as developing and disseminating educational materials in multiple languages through community organizations, places of worship, schools, and media outlets to inform people about ads for hate crimes and how to report incidents. Learn to work closely with trusted community leaders and organizations to spread information about reporting procedures and motivate and uplift the victims to come forward. Provide multiple reporting channels, including online forms, phone hotlines, and in-person reporting at police stations, to make the process more convenient and comfortable for victims.

Ensure that police officers receive comprehensive training on recognizing bias indicators, responding appropriately to hate crimes, and assisting victims in the reporting process. Publish adopted hate crime policies and procedures online to increase transparency and build trust between law enforcement and the community. [26]By implementing these measures, we can empower victims, increase reporting rates, and enhance the overall response to hate crimes.

TECHNOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS

There are technological solutions for identifying perpetrators of online hate crimes are evolving rapidly. Tools are being designed to analyze social media content for hate speech, enabling early detection and reporting of potential hate crimes. AI can classify and flag harmful content in real time, enhancing monitoring capabilities for law enforcement agencies. Projects utilize social media data, like tweets, to establish correlations between online hate speech and offline hate crimes. This approach helps identify patterns and risk areas for hate crimes. Initiatives encourage cooperation between tech companies and law

---

[25] https://www.euronews.com/next/2023/11/29/rise-in-hate-speech-on-social-media-platforms-new-eu-report-finds
https://www.ywboston.org/unfriendly-content-the-rise-of-hate-speech-on-social-media/
[26] https://tacklinghate.org/trainingmodule/hate-crime-reporting-barriers-why-victims-of-hate-related-incidents-are-reluctant-to-report2/
https://www.theiacp.org/sites/default/files/2019-04/IACP_Hate%20Crimes_Action%20Agenda.pdf

enforcement to share data and improve response strategies against hate speech.[27] These technologies aim to enhance accountability and reduce the anonymity that often shields online offenders.

Several tools have been developed to track and report online hate speech:

Hate Track

A computational tool designed to monitor and analyze racist hate speech online, utilizing insights from civil society and experts in the field.

Hate Base

A web-based application that gathers instances of hate speech globally, providing a comprehensive database for tracking hate speech incidents

ADL Tools

The Anti-Defamation League offers various resources, including the H.E.A.T. Map and ADL Tracker, to monitor and visualize hate incidents.

These tools aim to enhance awareness and facilitate the porting of hate speech across digital platforms.

CONCLUSION

While cyber laws provide a framework for prosecuting online hate crimes, their effectiveness is often undermined by enforcement challenges, vague legal definitions, and the complexities of online communication. A multifaceted approach that includes legislative reform, enhanced collaboration with social media platforms, and public awareness initiatives is essential for improving the prosecution of online hate crimes and ensuring accountability for offenders.

---

[27] https://fra.europa.eu/en/promising-practices/application-ai-early-recognition-criminal-offences-relate-hate-crime