TAILORING REGULATORY SANDBOX MODELS FOR DECENTRALIZED FINANCE (DEFI) IN INDIA

K Adithya Subramanian[*] Ragul Kannan R[*]

ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of Decentralized Finance (DeFi) has introduced new challenges and opportunities within the financial landscape, particularly in emerging markets like India. As DeFi operates without intermediaries, relying on blockchain technology and smart contracts, it disrupts traditional financial systems while raising complex regulatory and legal issues. In response, regulatory sandboxes have emerged as a tool for fostering innovation while managing risks. A regulatory sandbox provides a controlled environment where fintech companies can test new products or services under relaxed regulations. However, tailoring these sandboxes for DeFi presents unique challenges, given the decentralized nature of such systems, which often lack a central authority to hold them accountable. As of 2020, there are 73 sandboxes in 57 jurisdictions, as per World Bank data. This research article explores the legal and policy considerations for creating effective regulatory sandbox models specifically designed for DeFi in India. By examining existing frameworks in other jurisdictions, this study aims to provide recommendations for balancing innovation with regulatory oversight. It will address key concerns surrounding consumer protection, financial stability, anti-money laundering (AML) measures, and compliance with existing securities laws. The findings could help shape a nuanced regulatory approach, allowing India to foster innovation in DeFi while safeguarding the financial ecosystem.

Keywords: DEFI, Sandbox, Finance.

[*]BA LLB, FIFTH YEAR, SASTRA UNIVERSITY, THANJAVUR.
[*]BA LLB, FIFTH YEAR, SASTRA UNIVERSITY, THANJAVUR.

INTRODUCTION

A regulatory sandbox is a controlled testing environment where financial institutions and startups can experiment with innovative products, services and business models under regulatory supervision.

The primary goal of regulatory sandboxes is to balance innovation with ensuring consumer protection and market integrity. The conception of regulatory sandboxes in India dates back to 2016, with Telangana and Maharashtra taking the lead in implementing sandboxes when the RBI constituted an inter-regulatory working group to look into and report on the granular aspects of fintech and its implications to review the regulatory framework. Subsequently, in February 2024, the RBI published an updated report titled "Enabling Framework for Regulatory Sandbox", which defines Regulatory Sandboxes as the "*live testing of new products or services in a controlled/test regulatory environment for which regulators may (or may not) permit certain regulatory relaxations for the limited purpose of the testing*". This framework paves the way for evidence-based regulatory environments based on the real-time functioning of a particular technology, which narrows down the scope of errors at the time of implementation of the technology.

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) introduced guidelines for Regulatory Sandboxes (RS) in August 2019 as part of its broader initiative to promote financial technology (fintech) innovation in a controlled, supervised environment, to foster innovation in the financial sector, particularly in fintech.

The RBI introduced different cohorts, each focusing on specific sectors or types of fintech innovations. These cohorts include: Digital Payments (1st cohort), Cross-border Payments (2nd cohort), Microfinance (3rd cohort), Fraud Prevention (4th cohort) and a theme-neutral cohort as the fifth and latest cohort, with the primary aim of focusing regulatory efforts on priority areas of innovation. The sandbox is designed to encourage financial innovation by allowing companies to experiment with new technologies and solutions. The entities eligible to participate in the regulatory sandbox include startups, banks, financial institutions, and fintech companies incorporated in India. These participants must demonstrate that their proposed solutions are innovative, offer consumer benefits and comply with existing regulations.

Testing Phases: The sandbox follows a phased approach where applications undergo preliminary screening. Approved products then move to a live-testing phase under controlled conditions, typically lasting for 9 months, (earlier 6 months), though this can be extended by the RBI. After the testing phase, products undergo evaluation to assess their viability and regulatory compliance.

Apart from the RBI’s key role, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) are structured to promote fintech innovation while ensuring consumer protection and risk management. The SEBI focuses on enhancing market efficiency and investor participation in the securities market. It allows fintech firms to test innovative solutions under a limited regulatory framework with time-bound testing and temporary regulatory exemptions. It emphasizes transparency, consumer protection and collaboration with the industry participants. The IRDAI, on the other hand, aims to foster innovation in the insurance sector. It provides insurers and tech companies a platform to develop products that improve accessibility and customer engagement. Similar to the SEBI, the IRDAI offers temporary regulatory relief and emphasizes consumer-centric innovations to address underserved markets and enhance the insurance ecosystem. Both sandboxes enable companies to experiment, gather feedback and refine their offerings before a full-scale launch, promoting agility and consumer benefits. India's sandbox models thus play a critical role in driving fintech growth while aligning with national financial goals such as increasing digital transactions and financial inclusion.

REGULATORY SANDBOXES ACROSS JURISDICTIONS - AN ANALYSIS

United Kingdom: The UK is renowned for conceiving the first formal regulatory sandbox and for pioneering its propagation across the world.

FINANCIAL CONDUCT AUTHORITY (FCA) SANDBOX

Established in 2016, the FCA sandbox is one of the most well-known and well-established regulatory sandboxes globally. FCA defines a regulatory sandbox as “a ‘*safe space*’ in which businesses can test innovative products, services, business models and delivery mechanisms without immediately incurring all the normal regulatory consequences of engaging in the activity in question.” It allows fintech firms to test new products and services under relaxed regulatory conditions. The FCA sandbox covers areas like blockchain, AI-driven financial services, and payment solutions *inter alia.* In its detailed report published in November 2015 on Regulatory Sandboxes referred to earlier, it outlines the development and evolution of the regulatory sandbox as a key part of the FCA's Project Innovate, launched in 2016. The initiative emerged in response to the rapid rise of fintech and the regulatory challenges posed by disruptive technologies such as blockchain, AI, and open banking.

The FCA report adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data to evaluate the sandbox's performance. It draws on data from multiple sandbox cohorts, analyzing the types of firms that participate, the sectors they represent and the outcomes of sandbox testing, such as time to market, investment raised and customer uptake. Several case studies of specific sandbox participants, illustrate how different types of fintech firms ranging from startups to established financial institutions have benefited from participation. The empirical data gathered throughout various sandbox iterations helps determine how effectively the sandbox fosters innovation, helps firms navigate regulatory hurdles and provides substantial benefits. Importantly, the report makes several policy recommendations for improving the sandbox framework, such as enhancing international collaboration to allow for cross-border testing of fintech solutions which would pave the way for significant breakthroughs in the fintech arena across the world.

Post-Brexit, the UK has more regulatory flexibility compared to the EU and has positioned itself as an innovation hub for fintech and AI. The UK is seeking to use this flexibility to attract tech startups by offering lighter regulatory conditions for emerging technologies like Blockchain and decentralized finance (DeFi). The FCA launched a permanent Digital Sandbox on August 1, 2023, after two successful pilot programs. The sandbox offers fintech firms access to high-quality data, APIs, and a secure environment to experiment with new products and services. It fosters collaboration among innovators, regulators and data providers, allowing for observation and testing. The applicants are evaluated based on various criteria including innovation, consumer benefit and readiness. The initiative aims to support economic growth and competitiveness.

European Union: The EU has taken a more centralized approach to regulatory sandboxes, especially in areas like fintech, AI and digital finance. The European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs) have prepared a report on regulatory sandboxes and innovation hubs, the core of which, as reflected in Article 9(4) of the founding regulation, is briefly extracted as follows: *"Article 9(4) of the founding regulation for each of the ESAs which requires each to establish a committee on financial innovation 'which brings together all relevant competent national supervisory authorities with a view to achieving a coordinated approach to the regulatory and supervisory treatment of new or innovative financial activities and providing advice ... to* *present to the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission."*

The scope of regulation within sandboxes varies by country, but the core framework usually includes specific rules for testing periods, interaction with supervisors and reporting obligations. Sandboxes typically offer limited regulatory relief to firms, such as waivers for certain requirements, adjusted reporting obligations, or restrictions on the types of customers the product can serve during the testing phase.

Cross-Border Challenges: The EU is encouraged to explore the possibility of establishing pan-European regulatory sandboxes, enabling a harmonized approach that could facilitate cross-border fintech innovation and market access. Several EU countries, such as Lithuania, Denmark and Spain have established national fintech sandboxes. These sandboxes operate under local regulatory authorities but comply with broader EU directives. For instance, Lithuania's sandbox supports blockchain and payment solutions and has attracted significant fintech activity. However, there is a need for a consolidated regime to streamline and unify services across the jurisdiction. The European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI) is a pan-European, public-driven blockchain initiative aimed at improving public services across Europe. It leverages blockchain technology's key features, such as immutability, decentralization and tamper-evidence, to ensure trust, transparency and traceability. The EBSI supports the secure storage of information and enables new forms of verification for citizens, offering a trusted infrastructure to enhance public sector services across the EU.

European Commission's Digital Finance Package: In 2020, the European Commission introduced a Digital Finance Package which includes measures for a European-wide fintech sandbox. This initiative aims to harmonize regulations across EU member states, allowing firms to test innovations in cross-border settings. The sandbox focuses on digital finance, AI, blockchain and crypto-assets.

AI REGULATORY SANDBOXES (THE EU AI ACT)

The EU's Artificial Intelligence Act, published in the Official Journal of the EU dated 12 July 2024 and entered into force on 1 August 2024. The Act is said to have a gradual enforcement timeline and the majority of its provisions will start applying on 2 August 2026. The Act outlines the creation of AI regulatory sandboxes where businesses can test high-risk AI systems under regulatory supervision. Article 1 of the Act states: "*The purpose of this Regulation is to improve the functioning of the internal market and promote the uptake of* *human-centric and trustworthy artificial intelligence (AI), while ensuring a high level of protection of health, safety, fundamental rights enshrined in the Charter, including democracy, the rule of law and environmental protection, against the harmful effects of AI systems in the Union and supporting innovation.”*. Further, Article 3(55) of the Act defines AI regulatory sandbox as follows: *“‘AI regulatory sandbox’ means a controlled framework set up by a competent authority which offers providers or prospective providers of AI systems the possibility to develop, train, validate and test, where appropriate in real-world conditions, an innovative AI system, pursuant to a sandbox plan for a limited time under regulatory supervision;”* These sandboxes are designed to ensure AI innovations meet ethical standards while promoting growth.

While the EU-wide framework for sandboxes is being developed, there are still variations in regulatory approaches between member states which can complicate cross-border innovations.

DLT Pilot Regime (2022): The DLT Pilot Regime, effective from 23 March 2023, is a regulatory sandbox allowing firms to operate three types of Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) infrastructures: (i) a DLT multilateral trading facility, (ii) a DLT settlement system, or (iii) a combination of both. These DLT mechanisms apply to financial instruments under MiFID II, which are managed via DLT. The regime offers limited exemptions to existing rules, complemented by alternative measures for market and investor protection. The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) has issued guidelines for firms participating in this initiative.

Blockchain Regulatory Sandbox (2023): In 2023, the European Blockchain Regulatory Sandbox was introduced to facilitate regulatory dialogues and enhance legal certainty for blockchain solutions. It supports 20 projects annually, including public sector use cases, through calls for expression of interest. The sandbox complements the DLT Pilot Regime by focusing on blockchain applications beyond finance, such as digital identities and health data security. It aims to foster collaboration between regulators and innovators, addressing governance challenges and shaping relevant legislation.

United States of America: In the USA, regulatory sandboxes are primarily managed at the state level, with different states establishing frameworks that align with their local laws and industry needs. There are varying sentiments regarding the formalization of sandboxes, particularly an out-of-the-box remark made by a former New York Department of Financial

Services Superintendent Maria Vullo, who stated that toddlers play in sandboxes and adults play by the rules." Nevertheless, several U.S. states have enacted regulatory sandbox programs since Arizona's pioneering effort in 2018. These sandboxes vary in scope—some focus narrowly on blockchain and fintech innovations, while others are broader. Notable differences also include varying administering bodies and participant pools. States with regulatory sandboxes include Arizona, Florida, Hawaii, Nevada, North Carolina, Utah, West Virginia and Wyoming. These sandboxes aim to spur innovation, particularly in fintech, while ensuring compliance with existing regulatory structures.

FEDERAL REGULATORY INITIATIVES

While the U.S. federal government does not have a unified national sandbox program like other countries, some federal agencies have taken steps to promote innovation:

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) Office of Innovation: The CFPB launched its regulatory sandbox program, which focuses on encouraging innovation in consumer financial products. The CFPB's Office of Innovation proposed a "Disclosure Sandbox" to improve consumer financial disclosures by allowing companies to test new approaches. Building on a 2013 policy, this revised initiative aims to streamline the application process, expedite approvals and encourage trials lasting up to two years. The policy also coordinates with state regulators to reduce duplicative efforts for participants in state regulatory sandboxes. This proposal marks the Office's first major step, reflecting the Bureau's commitment to enhancing transparency and innovation in financial services.

U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Strategic Hub for Innovation and Financial Technology (FinHub): The SEC's FinHub offers guidance to companies developing novel financial technologies like blockchain or initial coin offerings (ICOs). While it doesn't offer a formal sandbox, it serves as a regulatory advisory body to fintechs and start-ups, creating an ecosystem for innovation.

Arizona (Fintech Sandbox): Arizona was the first state in the U.S. to establish a regulatory sandbox in March 2018, aimed at the fintech sector. It allows startups to test innovative financial products and services without a full regulatory burden. The program focuses on payments, lending, and blockchain technology and is overseen by the Arizona Attorney General's Office. Further, firms are required to have safeguards in place to protect consumers, including mechanisms for dispute resolution and mandatory notifications to consumers that the product is being tested.

Given the complexities of differing state regulatory sandbox programs, U.S. lawmakers have introduced legislation to promote uniformity. In 2016, Representative Patrick McHenry proposed the Financial Services Innovation Act to establish a federal regulatory sandbox framework, involving 12 federal agencies like the Federal Reserve, the SEC and the CFPB *inter alia*. Although this bill was not passed, it represents an effort toward standardization. The Treasury Department also supports sandboxes but emphasizes the need for joint state-federal cooperation. Without such collaboration, state-based sandboxes remain limited and subject to potential federal enforcement, creating uncertainty for fintech companies.

Decentralized Finance: Decentralized Finance (DeFi) is a blockchain-based financial ecosystem that enables users to conduct financial transactions—such as lending, banking and investing—without reliance on traditional intermediaries. The proliferation of cryptocurrencies serves as a primary catalyst for the emergence of alternative banking solutions with Ethereum at the forefront. DeFi leverages smart contracts to deliver on-chain financial services, thus promoting transparency and efficiency. DeFi protocols, which are specialized autonomous programs that have been designed to address issues related to the traditional finance industry are classified into decentralized exchanges (DEXs), lending protocols, derivatives and yield aggregators. The growing interest and adoption of DeFi can be linked to various market trends and influencing factors. A significant trend is the rise in total value locked (TVL) within DeFi protocols. TVL refers to the total amount of cryptocurrency held in different DeFi applications and acts as a measure of the market's overall activity. The increase in TVL underscores the growing trust and confidence in DeFi platforms.

KEY TECHNOLOGIES UNDERPINNING DEFI

Blockchain Technology: At the core of DeFi lies blockchain technology, which serves as a foundational element ensuring that all transactions are conducted with a high degree of security, transparency, and immutability. The decentralized nature of blockchain enables trustless interactions between users, eliminating the need for third-party oversight.

Smart Contracts: Smart contracts, which are essentially self-executing agreements with the terms directly written into code, play a crucial role in the DeFi ecosystem by automating a wide range of processes. This automation significantly reduces transaction costs and minimizes reliance on traditional intermediaries, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency of financial operations.

Decentralized Applications (dApps): Decentralized applications, commonly referred to as dApps, leverage the capabilities of smart contracts to deliver an array of financial services that are accessible globally. These applications operate independently of conventional financial institutions, fostering inclusivity and providing opportunities for users who may have been underserved by traditional banking systems.

Protocols and Standards: Within the DeFi landscape, various protocols and standards have been developed to facilitate different financial activities such as:

- **Automated Market Makers (AMMs):** These innovative systems facilitate trading by utilizing liquidity pools rather than relying on traditional order books, thereby streamlining the trading process and enhancing market efficiency.
- **Liquidity Protocols:** These protocols enable users to earn interest by providing liquidity to DeFi platforms, thus incentivizing participation and ensuring that the ecosystem remains liquid and functional.
- **Yield Farming and Staking:** Through these mechanisms, users have the opportunity to lock their assets within protocols in exchange for rewards, driving participation and liquidity within DeFi ecosystems.

INHERENT RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH DEFI

Despite its promising potential and myriad advantages, the DeFi space is not without its significant risks, which warrant careful consideration:

Smart Contract Vulnerabilities: Smart contracts, while innovative, are inherently subject to coding errors and potential vulnerabilities. If these flaws are exploited by malicious actors, they can lead to substantial financial losses for users, as evidenced by numerous high-profile hacks and exploits that have raised serious concerns about the security of DeFi systems.

Regulatory Uncertainty: The largely unregulated nature of the DeFi ecosystem can serve as a double-edged sword; while it fosters an environment ripe for innovation, it also creates opportunities for abuses, such as fraudulent activities and the emergence of toxic financial products. As regulatory frameworks struggle to keep pace with the rapid advancements in technology, users may find themselves navigating a precarious landscape with inadequate protections.

Market Volatility: Many DeFi platforms are closely linked to notoriously volatile cryptocurrencies. This inherent volatility can lead to sudden and unpredictable changes in asset prices and liquidity conditions, posing significant risks for users who may not fully understand these dynamics or the associated implications.

Fraud and Scams: The decentralized and pseudonymous nature of DeFi can attract malicious actors seeking to exploit unsuspecting users, leading to scams, rug pulls, and various other forms of fraudulent activity. Given that many users may lack adequate knowledge and experience in this area, there is a pressing need for better education and protective measures to safeguard participants.

DECENTRALIZED FINANCE IN INDIA

In the mid-2010s, India saw the emergence of blockchain and cryptocurrency platforms like Unocoin and ZebPay, which played a crucial role in familiarizing the population with crypto-assets like Bitcoin. Blockchain technology began gaining recognition for its potential to disrupt the traditional financial sector, especially in areas such as remittances, payments and cross-border transfers which are key concerns in a country with a large expatriate population. Decentralized Finance (DeFi) is rapidly gaining traction in India, highlighting both its current landscape and future prospects as a transformative financial ecosystem. Presently, numerous DeFi projects and initiatives have surfaced, aimed at promoting financial inclusion and enhancing traditional financial processes. These initiatives encompass decentralized lending platforms, DEXs and yield farming protocols. Although the adoption of DeFi remains in its nascent stages in India, there is an increasing interest among both individuals and institutions to explore the opportunities that this technology presents.

Looking forward, the potential for DeFi in India is vast. With a significant portion of the population being unbanked or underbanked, DeFi can play a pivotal role in bridging this gap by providing direct access to financial services such as loans, savings and investments, all without the necessity for intermediaries. Furthermore, DeFi can empower small businesses and startups by facilitating peer-to-peer lending and innovative fundraising mechanisms. *One key challenge is the lack of standardized regulations governing DeFi activities across different jurisdictions*. Establishing clear regulatory frameworks that address issues such as investor protection, anti-money laundering and jurisdictional disputes is essential for the long-term success of DeFi.

In the Indian context, some challenges are being addressed through innovations like the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), which, while not a DeFi tool, serves as an open application programming interface (API) that promotes formal finance, enhances interoperability and reduces inefficiencies in payments and settlements. Consequently, the aforementioned challenges may soon become irrelevant in modern financial systems. Furthermore, lending and borrowing can occur without intermediaries through protocols like Compound, where depositors provide liquidity that borrowers access at algorithmically determined interest rates, contingent upon collateral. Additionally, decentralized derivatives like Synthetix and Opyn could enhance the speed, security, and accessibility of financial services, while traditional financial instruments may currently offer superior safety and cost-effectiveness.

EMERGENCE OF DEFI PLATFORMS (2018-2020): REGULATORY CHALLENGES AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS

A major turning point came in 2018 when the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) issued a circular prohibiting banks and financial institutions from dealing with or providing services to entities involved with cryptocurrencies. This severely impacted India's crypto exchanges and DeFi startups, stifling growth in the decentralised space. Despite the ban, Indian blockchain enthusiasts continued to develop decentralised applications (dApps) and explore new DeFi solutions, leading to the launch of early projects in areas like lending, staking, and decentralized exchanges (DEXs).

Revival and Expansion (2020-2022): Supreme Court Ruling and Rise of DeFi Ecosystem

Supreme Court Overturns RBI Ban (2020)

In a landmark ruling pronounced by the Supreme Court of India in the matter of *Internet and Mobile Association of India v Reserve Bank of India*, a full bench of the Supreme Court of India overturned the RBI's ban on cryptocurrency transactions, revitalizing the crypto and DeFi industry. This decision reignited interest in decentralized finance and allowed existing exchanges and new platforms to resume their operations. As a consequence, Crypto adoption in India grew rapidly during the COVID-19 pandemic, fueled by rising inflation concerns, low banking penetration in rural areas and a growing interest in alternative assets. DeFi's core tenets—removing intermediaries and offering decentralized financial services—resonated strongly in a country where financial inclusion is a pressing issue. Decentralized exchanges, non-custodial wallets and liquidity pools became popular, with Indian users participating in global DeFi platforms like Aave, Uniswap, and Compound.

2022-Present: Consolidation, Regulation, and Future Outlook

Increased Institutional Interest: By 2022, institutional interest in DeFi had grown, with traditional financial institutions and venture capital firms exploring partnerships with DeFi platforms. Projects like Polygon became key players in the global DeFi space, offering Layer-2 solutions for scaling Ethereum-based dApps and facilitating cross-chain liquidity. The platform's success solidified India's position as a critical hub for DeFi development. Further, in 2022, coupled with the overturning of the ban on crypto, the Indian government's decision to introduce a 30% tax on income from digital assets (including cryptocurrencies and NFTs), signalled its intent to regulate the space without an outright ban. This taxation, however, has raised concerns about stifling innovation and pushing DeFi activities underground or offshore.

DeFi Initiatives in India: India's decentralized finance (DeFi) ecosystem is rapidly expanding, with key platforms like InstaDApp, Koinfox, Credflow, and Rupee Coin leading the way. InstaDApp connects users to DeFi protocols like Compound and Aave, allowing them to borrow or lend digital assets with ease. Koinfox enables peer-to-peer lending and advanced trading, automated by smart contracts. Credflow focuses on SMEs, offering quick loans through secure blockchain transactions, whereas Rupee Coin provides a stable digital currency for lending and borrowing, helping users avoid cryptocurrency volatility. Together, these platforms are shaping India's financial future through decentralized solutions.

KEY SUGGESTIONS FOR REGULATORY SANDBOXES FOR DEFI IN INDIA

Multi-Regulator Involvement (RBI, SEBI, IRDAI): Similar to the UK, where the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) oversees sandboxes, India may integrate the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) for payments and lending, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) for decentralized trading and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) for DeFi-based insurance products. A cross-regulatory framework can ensure each body's expertise is applied in relevant DeFi areas, similar to how the EU balances oversight across member countries with differing financial systems.

Adaptation of Sandboxes for DeFi's Unique Nature: Unlike traditional fintech, DeFi often lacks a central authority, making accountability challenging. Borrowing from the UK model, India can create a modified framework for smart contracts where developers and key stakeholders are responsible for compliance. Regulators can establish guidelines for creating "pseudonymous compliance mechanisms" within DeFi protocols. This could involve sandboxing smart contract auditing firms alongside DeFi startups to ensure higher security standards.

Phased Regulatory Entry: Based on the US sandbox model, India should allow phased entry into the market, starting with projects offering low-risk financial products (e.g., decentralized stablecoins) and gradually moving towards complex DeFi protocols (e.g., derivatives or flash loans). This helps manage risks while allowing innovation. A trial framework could be implemented where projects demonstrate compliance with anti-money laundering (AML) and Know-your-customer (KYC) protocols without overwhelming early-stage innovation.

Focus on Financial Inclusion: Following the EU's focus on social outcomes, India's regulatory sandbox should emphasize financial inclusion. DeFi projects that demonstrate potential in bridging the unbanked gap, particularly for rural and underbanked populations, should receive priority admission and favourable regulations in the sandbox. Regulatory models can incentivize projects that create decentralized identity verification systems or offer low-cost remittances, aligning with India's financial inclusion goals. Incentives for DeFi projects that address these gaps, like decentralized identity verification systems or remittance solutions, should be included in regulatory frameworks.

Compliance with Securities Laws: Similar to the US SEC's approach to classifying tokens as securities, the SEBI should offer clear guidelines on token classification in India. This includes whether governance tokens used in DeFi are considered securities under existing Indian laws. The sandbox should include a fast-track compliance path for DeFi projects that tokenize traditional assets, ensuring alignment with existing securities frameworks while maintaining innovation flexibility.

AML/KYC Requirements: The US model's strong focus on AML compliance could be mirrored in India. Regulatory sandbox entry could require DeFi projects to integrate decentralized AML/KYC frameworks, possibly using blockchain analytics tools. The RBI and the SEBI can collaborate on building AML requirements into DeFi protocols while ensuring these projects remain decentralized and privacy-focused.

Collaboration with Global DeFi Platforms: Given that the UK sandbox model encourages collaboration with international fintech firms, Indian regulators could engage in partnerships with successful global DeFi platforms (e.g., Aave and Compound). This would allow Indian DeFi platforms to benefit from established models and introduce global best practices.

CONCLUSION

The integration of Decentralized Finance (DeFi) into India's financial ecosystem presents significant opportunities to enhance financial inclusion, innovation and efficiency. However, this requires a carefully designed regulatory framework that balances innovation with consumer protection and financial stability. A multi-regulator approach involving the RBI, the SEBI, and the IRDAI is crucial to ensure that DeFi platforms comply with existing financial regulations while fostering innovation. Regulatory sandboxes, modeled after successful frameworks in the US, UK and EU, can provide controlled environments for DeFi experimentation, helping India navigate the complexities of decentralized systems. Clear guidelines for token classification, AML, and KYC compliance, along with collaboration with global DeFi platforms, will further strengthen India's position as a key player in the global DeFi landscape. Ultimately, DeFi has the potential to revolutionize India’s financial system, provided it is supported by a robust regulatory and policy framework that encourages innovation while safeguarding the interests of consumers and the broader economy.

REFERENCES

1. Global Experiences from Regulatory Sandboxes, World Bank (Nov. 12, 2020).
2. Web3 Sandbox, Telangana, https://web3sandbox.telangana.gov.in/
3. Maharashtra Government Unveils Sandbox to Aid Startups, Econ. Times (June 5, 2018, 05:34 PM).
4. Report of the Inter-Regulatory Working Group on Fintech and Digital Banking, Reserve Bank of India (Feb. 2024).
5. Enabling Framework for Regulatory Sandbox, Reserve Bank of India (Aug. 2019).
6. RBI Releases Enabling Framework for Regulatory Sandbox to Aid Fintechs, Econ. Times (Feb. 14, 2024, 05:34 PM).

7. Pranav Shukia & Anshuman Tripathy, Regulatory Sandbox in India: A Bird's Eye View, ORF (May 24, 2023).
8. Michael Giudice, A Few Thoughts on Regulatory Sandboxes, PACS Ctr.: J. Philanthropy & Civ. Soc'y at Stan. U. (Nov. 10, 2020).
9. What is a Regulatory Sandbox? SPN (Nov. 17, 2022).
10. Regulatory Sandbox, Fin. Conduct Auth'y (Oct. 2015.
11. Regulatory Sandbox, Fin. Conduct Auth'y (Oct. 2015).
12. Joint Report on Regulatory Sandboxes and Innovation Hubs, Eur. Sec. & Mkts. Auth. (Jan. 25, 2019).
13. European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI), Eur. Comm'n.
14. The EU AI Act, https://www.euaiact.com/.
15. Regulation 2024/85, art. 1, 2024 O.J. (L 287).
16. Regulation 2024/85, art. 3(55), 2024 O.J. (L 287).
17. Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID II).
18. European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA).
19. European Commission. "Launch of the European Blockchain Regulatory Sandbox." Shaping Europe's Digital Future, 14 Feb. 2023.
20. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. "CFPB Office of Innovation Proposes Disclosure Sandbox for Companies to Test New Ways to Inform Consumers." CFPB Blog, 10 Sept. 2019.
21. Watkins, Thomas, et al. "First in the Nation: Arizona's Regulatory Sandbox." Stanford Law School, Dec. 2018.
22. Moodalagiri, Srinidhi. "The Evolution of Cryptocurrencies in India and What the Future Looks Like." Times of India. Blogs, 5 May 2022, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/blogs/voices/the-evolution-of-cryptocurrencies-in-india-and-what-the-future-looks-like/
23. Anand, Ashish. "Understanding Decentralized Finance: Insights from Ashish Anand, Founder of Bru Finance." The Economic Times, 10 Oct. 2023.
24. Reserve Bank of India. "Prohibition on Dealing in Virtual Currencies (VCs)." RBI Notification, 6 Apr. 2018.
25. Reserve Bank of India. "DeFi and the Future of Finance." RBI Bulletin, Aug. 2023.
26. Internet and Mobile Association of India v. Reserve Bank of India, Writ Petition (Civil) No. 528 of 2018 (India).

27. Times of India. "30% Tax on Digital Assets: All You Need to Know." *The Times of India*, 1 February 2022.