THE ROLE OF MEDIA IN CRIMINOLOGY

Beauty Ilayira[*]

ABSTRACT

The connection between media and criminology is intricate and important. Media not only mirrors crime but also plays a crucial role in shaping public perceptions, societal responses, and even influencing criminal behaviour itself. Criminology, defined as the scientific examination of crime and criminal behaviour, is progressively recognising the media's significant influence in creating narratives about crime, affecting public fear, and shaping criminal justice policies. The media, encompassing television, newspapers, social media, and online platforms, serves as the primary means through which the public becomes informed about crime. Nevertheless, media representations are frequently selective, sensationalised, and biased. They often highlight violent crimes rather than white-collar or systemic offences, even when the latter are more widespread. This misrepresentation contributes to what criminologists refer to as the "fear of crime paradox," wherein public anxiety escalates despite a decrease in crime rates.

Media portrayals influence societal perceptions of who constitutes a "typical criminal." Minority groups, the economically disadvantaged, and young individuals are frequently depicted as offenders, thereby reinforcing stereotypes and social stigmas. This selective depiction can affect policing practices, judicial outcomes, and policy formulation, often exacerbating systemic biases. Certain criminological theories propose that the media can also serve as a catalyst or model for criminal conduct. For instance, Social Learning Theory suggests that individuals may replicate behaviours they observe being portrayed repeatedly, especially if those actions are depicted as rewarding or glamorous. The Desensitisation Hypothesis indicates that continuous exposure to violent media content may diminish sensitivity to real-life violence, potentially heightening aggressive behaviour.

[*]BA LLB (HONS.), FIFTH YEAR, MARWADI UNIVERSITY, RAJKOT.

The term "moral panic," coined by sociologist Stanley Cohen, illustrates how media can magnify societal fears regarding specific groups or behaviours, often disproportionate to the actual threat posed. Media narratives contribute to the construction of "folk devils," groups that are labelled as threats to societal values (such as gang members or drug users), which can result in severe legal repercussions or discriminatory policies.

Keywords: Media, Criminology, Crimes, Public Administration, Justices.

MEDIA REPRESENTATION OF CRIME

Analysis of How Crime Is Represented in Different Types of Media: Crime is depicted through various forms of media, such as news broadcasts, films, television dramas, and social media. Each medium uniquely influences public perception and emotional reactions to crime. These representations frequently reinforce stereotypes, establish moral boundaries, and affect societal responses to both perpetrators and victims.

News Media: News organisations often emphasise violent and sensational crimes (e.g., homicide, terrorism, sexual assault) disproportionately, neglecting more common yet less dramatic offences like white-collar crime or domestic violence. Crime narratives are typically framed with emotionally charged language, sensational headlines, and simplistic good-versus-evil storylines. Victims who are white, female, or children tend to receive more empathetic coverage, while minority suspects may be more heavily demonised or criminalised. This creates moral panic and increases fear of crime, reinforces racial and class-based stereotypes, and influences public backing for punitive policies (such as "tough on crime" initiatives). For instance, the "super predator" narrative prevalent in 1990s American media resulted in severe juvenile sentencing legislation driven by inflated anxieties.

Film: Crime films often romanticise or glamorise criminal activities, particularly within genres such as gangster films, heist movies, or psychological thrillers. Criminals are often depicted as either charming anti-heroes (for instance, in The Godfather or Joker) or as deranged villains. Law enforcement is portrayed as either idealised (the hero cop narrative) or depicted as significantly flawed and corrupt, depending on the film's underlying message. These films may desensitise audiences to violence or present crime as exciting and lucrative. They can reinforce misconceptions about criminality, such as the belief that criminals are inherently evil or solely motivated by trauma. They shape the collective perception of justice, frequently neglecting the structural factors contributing to crime (such as poverty and inequality). For example, Scarface

(1983) is frequently referenced as a romanticised depiction of criminal achievement and decline, impacting youth culture and fashion.

Television: Crime is a prevalent theme in popular television genres, including police procedurals (such as CSI and NCIS), legal dramas (like Law & Order), and crime documentaries. Television frequently upholds a crime-control model, depicting law enforcement as effective and justice as prompt, which seldom mirrors actual systems. Reality crime television (for instance, Cops) often highlights street-level crime and dramatises police interventions. It normalises a culture of surveillance and aggressive policing. It perpetuates the illusion of an efficient criminal justice system, obscuring issues such as wrongful convictions, systemic racism, and overcrowded prisons. It cultivates the "CSI effect," where juries in actual court cases anticipate forensic evidence to be consistently available and definitive, influenced by its representation in fictional television shows. For example, Law & Order illustrates a simplified version of the justice system, where cases are resolved and prosecuted swiftly, misrepresenting the complexities of legal processes.

Social Media and Digital Platforms: Crime-related content disseminates swiftly across platforms such as Twitter, TikTok, and YouTube. Influencers and content creators occasionally utilise true crime as a form of entertainment, merging facts with speculation. Live-streaming and viral videos (such as incidents of police brutality) have transformed the documentation and perception of crime. It empowers citizen journalism and accountability (for example, by exposing police misconduct). However, it also poses risks of misinformation, public shaming, and digital vigilantism. It fosters echo chambers where specific narratives regarding crime and justice become prevalent, often reinforcing political or cultural biases. For example, the viral footage of George Floyd's murder sparked a worldwide reaction, demonstrating how social media can influence perceptions and catalyse real-world activism.

EXAMINATION OF THE IMPACT OF MEDIA REPRESENTATION ON PUBLIC PERCEPTIONS OF CRIME

The portrayal of crime in the media is crucial in influencing how both individuals and societies understand criminal behaviour, justice systems, and safety. The media serve not only to inform the public but also to actively shape perceptions of crime through selective reporting, framing methods, and narrative techniques. The images created by these processes have profound psychological, social, and political effects.

One of the most immediate effects of media representation is the distortion of the reality of crime. Media organizations frequently emphasize sensational and violent crimes such as murder, rape, and terrorism due to their emotional impact and commercial viability. Consequently, the public often overestimates the frequency of violent crime, even when crime statistics indicate a decrease, less serious offences, white-collar crimes, or systemic issues like corruption receive significantly less coverage, distorting public comprehension of the overall crime situation. This occurrence is referred to as the "availability heuristic", where individuals assess the probability of events based on how readily examples come to mind, which is frequently shaped by media exposure.

Frequent exposure to media portrayals of crime results in elevated levels of fear, particularly among individuals who do not experience crime directly in their lives. This phenomenon is commonly known as the "fear of crime paradox," where fear remains high despite low victimisation rates. Fear induced by the media can result in:

- Social isolation, particularly problematic among the elderly or women.
- Increased advocacy for surveillance, stringent policing, and punitive measures.
- Distrust within communities, especially in urban areas depicted unfavorably.

The Cultivation Theory (Gerbner & Gross, 1976) posits that extended exposure to media can cause individuals to view the world as more perilous than it truly is, a condition referred to as "mean world syndrome." The media often depicts specific groups, particularly racial minorities, immigrants, and youth, as being more susceptible to criminal behaviour. This selective portrayal:

- Reinforces stereotypes related to race and socioeconomic status.
- Promotes discriminatory actions such as racial profiling and inequitable sentencing.
- Dehumanises certain offenders while generating sympathy for others based on their race, gender, or social class.

Such portrayals frequently lead to moral panics, a concept introduced by Stanley Cohen in 1972, where media coverage amplifies fear and hostility towards a perceived threat, resulting in reactionary legislation and public backlash.

Media representations, particularly in television dramas and news coverage, often oversimplify or misrepresent the legal process. This encompasses:

- Presenting forensic science as rapid and flawless (referred to as the "CSI Effect").

- Portraying law enforcement as infallible heroes or solitary saviours, which obscures systemic misconduct.

- Illustrating swift justice, disregarding the complexities and delays that are typical in actual legal systems.

These depictions shape public expectations and trust in justice institutions and can even influence jury decision-making, as jurors may anticipate dramatic evidence or confessions akin to those portrayed on television. Public sentiment, influenced by media portrayals, can steer political agendas and policy choices. Politicians may react to fear instigated by the media by:

- Promoting "tough on crime" initiatives (such as mandatory minimum sentences and enhanced police authority).

- Decreasing emphasis on rehabilitation or restorative justice in favour of imprisonment.

- Rationalising increased financial support for law enforcement, even without proof that it effectively lowers crime rates.

In this manner, the media acts as a policy influencer, indirectly guiding the nature and trajectory of criminal justice reforms. As digital platforms have proliferated, crime-related content disseminates more rapidly and extensively. This phenomenon carries both beneficial and detrimental consequences:

- Positive: Citizen journalism (for instance, recording police misconduct) fosters transparency and accountability.

- Negative: Viral content may result in trial by social media, the spread of misinformation, and digital vigilantism.

Social media further intensifies emotionally charged responses to crime, exacerbating polarised discussions and mob justice, particularly in high-profile incidents.

Discussion of Potential Biases and Distortions in Media Representation: The media serves as a significant force in the social construction of reality, influencing public perceptions and reactions to crime. Nevertheless, the portrayal of crime in the media is seldom impartial or thorough. It is frequently influenced by various cultural, economic, political, and institutional elements that lead to inherent biases and misrepresentations. These misrepresentations can

have extensive repercussions, affecting public sentiment, criminal justice policies, and societal views on crime and deviance.

Sensationalism and Selectivity Bias: A prevalent bias in crime journalism is sensationalism, which involves favouring stories that are dramatic, violent, or emotionally charged, irrespective of their actual statistical occurrence. Examples: The disproportionate coverage of violent crimes (such as homicide and sexual assault) despite their relatively low incidence compared to property or cybercrimes. A focus on unusual or extraordinary crimes, which are more likely to attract attention and boost ratings or online engagement.

Racial and Ethnic Bias: Media narratives often reflect racial biases in the depiction of both offenders and victims. Studies indicate that: Individuals of colour, especially Black and Latino individuals, are frequently depicted as perpetrators while being underrepresented as victims. In contrast, white victims generally receive more empathetic and humanising portrayals. Mugshots are typically used for minority suspects, whereas white suspects are often shown in professional or casual photographs. There are also linguistic disparities, such as attributing mental health issues to white offenders, while minority offenders are labelled as "thugs" or "gang members."

Gender Bias: Gender significantly influences the portrayal of crime: Male offenders are more frequently represented, which aligns with conventional stereotypes of aggression. Female offenders are often sensationalised, particularly when they diverge from expected gender norms (for instance, mothers engaging in violent acts). Female victims garner increased media attention, especially in instances of sexual violence, youth, or physical attractiveness (often referred to as the "missing white woman syndrome").

Geographic and Cultural Bias: Media representation can skew the association of crime with particular locations:

- Urban regions are frequently depicted as perilous and disorderly.
- Crimes occurring in suburban or rural settings may be characterised as exceptions or tragedies, rather than as indicators of broader social issues.

Narrative and Framing Bias: Media organisations shape narratives through framing techniques, and simplistic binary narratives (such as good versus evil, victim versus villain) are employed to present crime stories in a more digestible format. The underlying structural

factors contributing to crime (including inequality, trauma, and systemic failures) are often overlooked in favour of attributing blame to individuals.

MEDIA AND THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Examination of the relationship between media and the criminal justice system (e.g., courtroom coverage, police-media relations). The media and the criminal justice system function as mutually dependent entities. While the criminal justice system aims to maintain law and order, the media acts as the main channel through which the public becomes informed about criminal justice procedures. Their interaction is marked by both collaboration and tension, influenced by their distinct institutional objectives: the justice system strives for fairness, due process, and confidentiality, whereas the media emphasises speed, accessibility, and audience engagement. This intricate relationship affects public perception, trial results, institutional credibility, and policy formulation.

Courtroom Coverage and Trial by Media: Coverage of court proceedings, which includes the media's presence and reporting, can result in "trial by media," where public sentiment and the interpretation of guilt or innocence are established before or following a verdict, potentially undermining the impartiality of judicial processes. This situation may arise when the media investigates a case and shares its conclusions with the public before the court has delivered a decision. Media reporting can enhance the accessibility of the legal process and allow for greater public scrutiny. Prominent trials can inform the public regarding legal procedures, individual rights, and the dynamics of the courtroom.

Police-Media Relations: The connection between law enforcement agencies and the media is strategic and fluid, frequently characterised by mutual advantage and selective collaboration.

Police Use of Media: Disseminate information to the public, such as alerts about wanted individuals or community notifications. Manage public perception during emergencies or contentious events. Enhance a favourable institutional image through community policing narratives or "hero" stories.

Media Dependence on Police: Journalists depend on official police sources for crime updates, press statements, and access to crime scenes. This reliance can result in uncritical journalism, where police narratives are accepted without scrutiny (referred to as "churnalism").

Media Influence on Judicial Outcomes and Public Opinion: The media plays a crucial role in influencing judicial outcomes and shaping public opinion in various ways. Firstly, the coverage of legal cases by the media can affect public perception and juror attitudes, which may result in biased outcomes. Secondly, the media serves as a watchdog, overseeing judicial proceedings and ensuring judges are held accountable, thereby enhancing public trust in the system. Thirdly, sensationalised reporting can skew perceptions of justice and truth, potentially compromising the integrity of the judicial process.

Furthermore, the media can influence the prevailing opinion surrounding criminal cases, especially those that are high-profile. Depending on how suspects, victims, and proceedings are framed, the public may form assumptions regarding guilt or innocence before the conclusion of trials. Exert pressure on legal actors (such as prosecutors and judges) to impose either harsh or lenient actions. Seek symbolic justice in cases that involve racial, gender, or class-related tensions.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF MEDIA ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

The administration of justice pertains to the equitable and unbiased enforcement of legal procedures by courts, law enforcement bodies, and correctional facilities. The media, as a primary source of information and public dialogue, can assume both supportive and obstructive roles in this context. Its impact ranges from influencing public perceptions to directly altering courtroom interactions, institutional choices, and policy formulation. While the media can promote transparency and accountability, it also presents challenges to the integrity, neutrality, and efficacy of the justice system.

Media reporting, especially sensational or partial coverage, can generate intense public emotions that may sway judges, juries, and prosecutors. Judges and prosecutors might feel compelled to conform to public sentiment to preserve their legitimacy or evade backlash. Jurors who are subjected to extensive media exposure may form preconceived ideas of guilt or innocence, undermining the fairness of a trial. Example: In notable cases such as the trial of Derek Chauvin (concerning the George Floyd incident), extensive media attention sparked discussions regarding the feasibility of an impartial jury due to the widespread publicity and protests.

Trial by Media: The concept of "trial by media" arises when individuals are judged in the public arena prior to a formal legal decision being made. This can significantly undermine the

presumption of innocence, a core tenet of justice. Defendants may face stigmatisation, harassment, or social ostracism irrespective of the legal verdict. Selecting unbiased jurors becomes increasingly challenging, particularly in cases that receive extensive media coverage. Even if found not guilty, defendants may endure reputational harm that the justice system is unable to rectify.

Media as a Mechanism for Accountability and Reform: Media exposure of injustices, corruption, or systemic abuse can serve a positive function by raising public awareness and encouraging reforms. Investigative journalism has the potential to reveal wrongful convictions, police misconduct, or errors in prosecution. Public pressure resulting from media coverage can result in changes to policies (e.g., mandates for police body cameras, reforms in sentencing). Victims and marginalised groups may find representation through the media, compelling institutions to act fairly. Example: The documentary Making a Murderer (Netflix) ignited public discourse regarding judicial bias and systemic issues within the U.S. criminal justice system.

Effects on Victims and Witnesses: Media coverage can influence the willingness and safety of victims and witnesses. Victims may experience revictimization due to intrusive reporting or public scrutiny. Witnesses might withdraw or modify their testimony out of fear of exposure or retaliation. Media narratives can undermine credibility or misrepresent their involvement in legal proceedings.

Institutional Bias and Selective Reporting: The media frequently depends on police sources, which can lead to biased narratives that favour law enforcement viewpoints, especially during the initial reporting phases. Distorted narratives may affect prosecutorial choices or shape public perceptions of justice agencies. The media may exacerbate institutional bias, reinforcing stereotypes (e.g., racial profiling or gender bias).

CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES ON MEDIA AND CRIME

The connection between media and crime is intricate and laden with ideological implications. Although mainstream narratives frequently portray the media as a neutral source of information, critical criminology contests this perspective by highlighting the constructed, biased, and power-influenced nature of crime portrayal in the media. These viewpoints emphasise how media narratives not only reflect but also reinforce prevailing social norms, political agendas, and institutional power dynamics.

Critical Analysis of the Connection Between Media and Crime: Critical criminologists contend that the media does not simply report on crime; rather, it plays an active role in shaping public perceptions of what constitutes crime, who the offenders are, and the appropriate responses to such behaviour. Critiques:

- Crime myths are sustained through sensationalism (for instance, the "superpredator" myth or moral panics surrounding youth violence).

- The media tends to focus on street crime and violent acts, while white-collar and state crimes receive insufficient coverage.

- Often, the media aligns itself with state and corporate interests, sidelining dissenting or critical viewpoints.

Example: The heightened focus on drug-related crime during the U.S. "War on Drugs" period served political purposes by portraying specific groups (such as Black and Latino communities) as inherently criminal, despite evidence indicating that drug usage rates are comparable across different racial groups.

Examination of Possible Biases and Power Dynamics in Media Representation: From a critical perspective, the media's portrayal of crime is intricately linked to systems of power, inequality, and ideology. Basic Aspects of Bias are -

- **Racial and Ethnic Stereotyping:** Black and Latino individuals are disproportionately depicted as offenders, whereas white criminals may be portrayed in a more sympathetic light or as "troubled."

- **Gender Bias:** Women, particularly when depicted as victims, are frequently sexualized or shown as passive. Female offenders may be represented as straying from traditional femininity or moral standards.

- **Class Bias:** Crimes committed by individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds are more likely to be criminalised, while offences by the affluent (such as corporate fraud) tend to receive less scrutiny or are framed as technical matters.

- **Power Dynamics:** Media institutions, especially large conglomerates, are connected to economic and political elites, which affects the narratives that are presented and their framing. Law enforcement and prosecutors often serve as primary sources for crime news, influencing narratives that favour law enforcement (a phenomenon referred to as "mediated legitimacy").

- **Theoretical Framework:** Marxist theory posits that the media serves to uphold capitalist interests by emphasising crimes committed by the impoverished while obscuring the structural violence associated with poverty, inequality, or exploitation. Foucauldian analysis examines how media discourse contributes to the regulation and surveillance of deviant individuals and spaces.

Alternative Perspectives on the Role of Media in Criminology: While conventional criminology frequently regards media as secondary or merely a reflection of reality, critical perspectives view it as fundamental to the social construction of crime. Perspectives:

- **Cultural Criminology:** Highlights the interconnectedness of crime and media within a cultural economy characterised by emotions, spectacle, and identity. Crime narratives are perceived as both performative and symbolic. Example: The glorification of criminal subcultures in hip-hop or gang cinema illustrates both resistance and commodification.
- **News-making Criminology:** Promoted by theorists such as Ray Surette, this perspective urges criminologists to actively engage with media to contest prevailing narratives and provide alternative discourses. It calls for scholars to assume the role of public intellectuals, shaping the representation of crime and justice.
- **Feminist Criminology:** Critiques mainstream media for reinforcing patriarchal standards, both in the reporting of crimes and in determining which victimisations are considered newsworthy. Example: The "missing white woman syndrome" underscores how media prioritises the cases of white, middle-class women over those of women of colour or from marginalised backgrounds.
- **Postcolonial and Decolonial Approaches:** Investigate how Western media portrays crime in the Global South or within immigrant communities through orientalist or neocolonial perspectives. The media frequently depicts violence in non-Western nations as irrational or tribal, while neglecting the influence of colonial histories or global disparities.

CONCLUSION

The media significantly influences the criminological landscape, impacting the understanding of crime, the pursuit of justice, and societal reactions. Criminologists are required to persist in analysing and critiquing media practices to reveal biases, confront misconceptions, and

promote more accurate and ethical portrayals of crime and justice. Various media formats depict crime in diverse yet impactful ways, frequently influencing public perception more significantly than personal experience or statistical facts. News outlets might sensationalise and polarise, films could romanticise or vilify, television may oversimplify or misrepresent, and social media has the potential to amplify or distort. For criminologists, comprehending these portrayals is crucial for analysing public sentiments, policy choices, and cultural reactions to crime and justice.

Media portrayals of crime significantly affect public perception, frequently in ways that diverge from empirical truths. They cultivate fear, reinforce societal prejudices, shape views on justice, and influence criminal legislation. Comprehending these impacts is crucial for criminologists, media researchers, educators, and policymakers who seek to promote a more precise and fair narrative concerning crime and justice. The connection between media and the criminal justice system is intricate and layered, marked by cooperation, conflict, and reciprocal influence. Although the media has the potential to enhance transparency and accountability, it also poses risks to due process, reinforces biases, and can sway judicial results. In the era of digital media, where information disseminates rapidly and virally, upholding ethical standards and procedural fairness presents an even more significant challenge. A thorough comprehension of this relationship is vital for protecting the integrity of both institutions.

The impact of the media on the justice system is a double-edged sword. On one side, it is crucial for revealing injustices, fostering transparency, and ensuring accountability within legal institutions. Conversely, it has the potential to misrepresent facts, provoke public bias, and undermine the rights to a fair trial. Achieving a balance between press freedom and the integrity of the justice system is a significant challenge, particularly in the era of constant news coverage and social media. Responsible journalism, educated public engagement, and flexible legal protections are vital for sustaining this equilibrium. Critical viewpoints encourage us to perceive the media not merely as a passive reflection of crime, but rather as an engaged contributor to its definition, enhancement, and societal regulation. By examining the biases and power dynamics inherent in media portrayals, critical criminology contests traditional interpretations and advocates for more just, precise, and responsible narratives surrounding crime and justice. Such perspectives are vital for fostering a more sophisticated and democratic discourse in criminology.

REFERENCES

1. Bowler, b. K. (2018). The Impact of Media on Fear of Crime. *Journal of Crime and Justice*.

2. Frase, b. T. (2011). The Impact of Media on Sentencing Decisions. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*.

3. Greer, C. &. ((2012) (5th ed., pp. 245–278). *Mediated mayhem: Media, crime, criminal justice.* In M. Maguire, R. Morgan, & R. Reiner (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Criminology. Oxford University Press.

4. Jewkes, Y. (n.d.). *Media and Crime (3rd ed.). Sage.*

5. P. Davies, P. F. (2007). News media, victims and crime. (pp. 20–49). Sage.

6. Rock, P. (2004). "Media Coverage of Crime and Public Opinion. *British Journal of Criminology*.

7. Wall, D. (2019). The Role of Social Media in Crime Prevention. *Policing and Society*.

8. Watkins, E. (2020). Media Representation of Crime and Justice". *Criminology and Criminal Justice*.