ENSURING SAFETY AND ACCESS: THE ROLE OF THE HUMANITARIAN ORGANISATIONS IN CONFLICT ZONES AND CHALLENGES OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS PROTECTION

Kartisha Vaishnavi*

ABSTRACT

Humanitarian agencies not only reach out in war-affected zones, but frontline agencies also provide relief, healthcare aid, and other life-saving services for vulnerable populations. However, these missions are cut across with considerable risks such as security threats, government and political restrictions, and infringement of international humanitarian law and human rights law. Therefore, the driving force of this research would be investigating the role that humanitarian actors play in conflict-affected countries about their legal protections under international law, as well as the challenges posed to guaranteeing the safety and access to them. It evaluates the role of state and non-state actors in enabling such assistance vis-a-vis the ethical and legal considerations involved in sovereignty, neutrality, and security. The further study highlights the progress on the evolution of legal frameworks on humanitarian access as focusing on the most important international treaties, customary international law, as well as inquiries into contemporary conflicts. In a nutshell, this paper encourages stronger legal means and cooperative efforts to better the protection of humanitarian actors and ensure effective aid delivery in war-embattled areas.

Keywords: Humanitarian Organisations, Security Risks, Geneva Conventions, Human Rights Protection.

INTRODUCTION

Military conflicts continue to threaten civilians with crimes; the results are mostly humanitarian crises that require immediate attention. The humanitarian contexts of these kinds of humanitarian organisations deal with many responsibilities, like providing aid,

---

*BBA LLB (HONS.), FOURTH YEAR, IILM LAW SCHOOL, GURUGRAM.

medical assistance, and protection to people affected by conflicts, displacement, and violations of human rights. However, such bodies usually encounter threats to their safety in addition to political and legal constraints that limit their work. The problems of humanitarian actors in conflict areas raise serious collision points between human rights law, international humanitarian law, and state sovereignty. The paper addresses legal and ethical entanglements about how these organisations gain access to conflict zones and the risks humanitarian organisations incur while undertaking their missions. Moreover, consideration is made to the protection aspect for humanitarian actors within international law, responsibilities for state and non-state actors, and the barriers impeding aid delivery. The research furthermore assesses state-of-the-art case studies that demonstrate in a practical way how these challenges manifest and also provides legal and policy propositions for making humanitarian access and safekeeping more effective. Also, this research investigates the emerging legal regime for and real-world challenges confronting humanitarian organisations and hopes to make contributions to the evolving conversation on human rights during conflict events. It does signify an urgent need to push for strong international cooperation, legal clarity, and good enforcement mechanisms to make sure that humanitarian actors can discharge without endangering their safety or putting at risk the fundamental principles that govern those they serve.

INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW (IHL) AND GENEVA CONVENTIONS

International Humanitarian Law (IHL) possesses the distinct and most cardinal character of treating an object and is instituted to relieve human suffering and take care of people who are not taking an active part in hostilities during armed conflict, hence IHL mainly consists of the four 1949 Geneva Conventions and the Additional Protocols, which provide the basic rules for the treatment of civilians, prisoners of war, and wounded combatants. Such laws place duties on war parties to ensure humanitarian assistance and grant humanitarian organisation operations protection from these conflicts by law. But while such laws protect the operation of humanitarian organisations, they still face challenges, such as access limitations, the targeting of aid workers, and the imposition of legal obstacles by states to spread humanitarian action.[1]

---

[1] "What is International Humanitarian Law?" (*ICRC*, September, 2007) <https://www.icrc.org/sites/default/files/document/file_list/what-is-ihl-factsheet.pdf> assessed 27 February, .2025.

ROLE OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL TREATIES

The United Nations and international treaties' role in the aid for IHL cannot be overemphasised. All its agencies, such as the Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), and the ICRC, help maintain humanitarian principles, create avenues for access to aid, and promote compliance with international norms. A number of international agreements, including the Convention on the Safety of United Nations and Associated Personnel (1994) and the Arms Trade Treaty (2013), provide further legal safeguards for humanitarian action. But enforcement is reliant on cooperation from states, which is not consistent for many treaties in many conflict areas.[2]

NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORKS AND THEIR IMPACT ON HUMANITARIAN ACCESS

International Humanitarian Law (IHL) sets some basic rules to protect people during conflicts. But how each country applies these rules can make it tough for aid groups to do their job. For example, many countries have strict anti-terror laws or complicated rules that make it hard for aid workers to get visas. They often say these rules are for national security. Because of these tough laws, humanitarian groups struggle to reach the people who really need help. This is especially true in places where non-state armed groups are in charge. In some cases, governments even make it illegal for aid workers to talk to certain groups. This is a big problem because sometimes, negotiating with these groups is the only way to get help to people in danger. The situation becomes even trickier for aid organisations. They want to follow the law, but they also need to stick to their principles of staying neutral and helping everyone equally. This balancing act can feel like walking a tightrope. Aid workers are often caught in the middle. They have to figure out a way to work within these complicated laws while making sure they can help those in need. It's not just about getting to the people. It's about doing it in a way that respects the law without compromising their mission. The challenges they face can really stand in the way of delivering much-needed aid. In the end, the legal landscape is a mixed bag. On one hand, there are rules meant to protect people. On

---

[2] 'Humanitarian Assistance' (*United Nations and Rule of Law*) <https://www.un.org/ruleoflaw/thematic-areas/international-law-courts-tribunals/humanitarian-assistance/> accessed 27 February 2025

the other hand, some laws can block the very help that is needed most. It's a constant struggle for balance, and it affects how well humanitarian organisations can do their work.[3]

LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING HUMANITARIAN ORGANIZATIONS

Humanitarian groups work within different laws. These include international rules, regional agreements, and laws from individual countries. There are guidelines like the Sphere Standards and the Code of Conduct from the Red Cross that help them deliver aid ethically. But laws can vary a lot, making it tough to operate smoothly. To help, we need better teamwork between international groups, national governments, and local bodies. This can lead to clearer rules that protect those helping others and hold people accountable when things go wrong. This part highlights how important it is to have clear laws and cooperation on a global level. We need countries to stick to these rules to help humanitarian work in conflict areas. Right now, there's a gap between what the laws say and what really happens, which makes it hard for organisations to stay safe and reach those in need.

CHALLENGES FACED BY HUMANITARIAN ORGANISATIONS

Humanitarian relief, which really exists to mitigate the suffering of people because of a conflict, is itself under conflict, creating barriers for access to aid and human rights. However, there are laws put in place to enable access and safety for them, yet major issues usually include threats to security and restricted access, often accompanied by political and ethical dilemmas and resource constraints. All these make humanitarian missions rather compromised and expose aid workers and vulnerable people to increased dangers.

Security Risks: Targeting Aid Workers, Lack of Protection, Armed Group Interference: Humanitarian organisation workers have been targeted in conflict zones. Armed groups, state-based or non-state, tend to consider humanitarian personnel as threats or political adversaries, which leads to kidnappings, attacks, and murders from time to time. The situation has aggravated due to a lack of mechanisms for providing sufficient protection to humanitarian operations, along with increasing risks. Access to populations has been blocked by some of the groups, while others loot the supplies or force some organisations to operate under certain conditions. Ultimately, not only are the aid workers endangered, but most

---

[3] International Humanitarian Law. Questions and Answers' (*International Committee of Red Cross*) <https://www.icrc.org/sites/default/files/external/doc/en/assets/files/other/icrc-002-0703.pdf> assessed 27 February 2025

importantly, they lose the possibility of maintaining neutrality and effectiveness in helping the affected persons. Humanitarian organisation workers tend to have to work in conflict zones. Indeed, armed groups - state and non-state actors - tend to see humanitarian personnel as threats or political adversaries, which would lead to kidnapping, attacking, and, in some cases, killing them. In addition, the lack of good and sufficient protective mechanisms adds to the danger in humanitarian operations now. Also, interference from armed groups disturbs the distribution of humanitarian assistance. Some groups might prevent access to specific target populations, loot the supplies, or compel organisations to function under some limited conditions. These security risks, therefore, jeopardise the lives of the aid workers, as well as impair the agencies' ability to remain neutral and work well in assisting those needing need.[4]

Access Restrictions: Government-Imposed Barriers, Visa and Permit Issues, Logistical Difficulties: Humanitarian aid has always been hindered by inaccessible regions from government barriers within or around such places. These barriers include strict issuance of visas or permits, long, bureaucratic approval procedures, and sometimes outright prohibitions from carrying out humanitarian operations, and are often used by states to control or restrict aid efforts. In some instances, access is denied under the pretext of security concerns; in others, political motives drive such restrictions. In addition to these barriers, there are logistical challenges besides damaged infrastructure and restricted airspace, where blockades make it impossible to deliver assistance promptly. Such access restrictions generally result in prolonged suffering for those civilians dependent on humanitarian aid to survive.[5]

Political and Ethical Dilemmas: Neutrality vs. State Interests, Allegations of Bias: Often, it entails much more than just lending humanitarian services under the principles of neutrality, impartiality, and independence. Humanitarian actors have to face a lot of political and ethical dilemmas. Governments and armed factions have sought influence and strategy with humanitarian actors to favour their interests, selectively provide aid, or withhold assistance from other groups. Allegations of partiality will compromise the credibility of a humanitarian mission, causing it to fall under suspicion or hostility. The necessity to have to engage non-state armed groups to negotiate access would likely open the door to charges of

---

[4] 'International Humanitarian Law, Questions and Answer' (*International Committee of Red Cross*) <https://www.icrc.org/sites/default/files/external/doc/en/assets/files/other/icrc-002-0703.pdf> assessed 27 February 2025
[5] 'International Laws Protecting Civilians in Armed Conflict Not Being Upheld Secretary-General Warns Security Council, Urging Deadly Cycle Be Broken' (*United Nations,* 23 May 2023) <https://press.un.org/en/2023/sc15292.doc.htm> assessed 28 February 2025

support for terrorism or violation of counter-terrorism laws, complicating matters even further for them in conflict zones.

Resource Constraints: Funding Shortages, Donor Influence, Operational Limitations: Humanitarian operations, especially those related to donor funding, are often blamed for restrictions on operational swift action. The need for funding, therefore, pushes organisations to prioritise some crises over others, making some conflict zones underfunded and ignored. The presence of donors, therefore, can affect the selection of recipients and thus result in biased or politically influenced assistance. Besides the funding constraints, there are logistical constraints such as human resource limitations, inadequately trained staff, limited medical supplies, and limited infrastructure to sustain long-term humanitarian operations.

CASE STUDIES OF HUMANITARIAN OPERATIONS IN CONFLICT ZONES

Examples of humanitarian operations in areas of conflict offer fruitful insights into the challenges and successes of such operations. In this section, the performance of the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) in Syria, Médecins Sans Frontières (Doctors without Borders) in Yemen and the United Nations in Ukraine is examined. The case studies bring to light not only the legal, security, and operational challenges that such humanitarian actors encounter but also emphasise the tremendous role that they play in alleviating human suffering.

The Role of the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) in Syria: This civil war in Syria, which started in 2011, has developed into one of the most terrible humanitarian crises of the 21st century. Being at the forefront of humanitarian efforts, the ICRC has provided medical assistance, clean water, and support to people for displaced persons. Despite the organisation being offered unique legal protections under International Humanitarian Law (IHL), access, security threats, and political challenges continue to plague the ICRC. The organisation also worked with state and non-state actors to create safe passages for aid delivery. However, access was not entirely consistent given the nature of the conflict. Also, some aid convoys were either blocked or specifically targeted, and humanitarian workers were attacked and abducted. The ICRC establishes the working environment in Syria for its operations and shows how difficult it is to remain neutral and

impartial, especially in a conflict in which all warring parties often see humanitarian actors as working on behalf of outside adversaries.[6]

Médecins Sans Frontières (Doctors Without Borders) in Yemen: The ensuing humanitarian crisis in Yemen, which has caused years of famine, disease, and death among civilians owing to the conflict with the Saudi coalition and the Houthi rebels, has turned it into a battleground. In this context, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) has contributed heavily to providing desirable conditions for medical care, including emergency surgery and response to epidemics, mostly in collapsed health systems. However, MSF was under bombed several times from targeted aerial attacks and subjected to bureaucratic hindrances and restrictions on access. It, therefore, does not matter whether medical personnel and facilities have international legal protection because MSF hospitals and clinics have been bombed several times, causing patients and even staff casualties in the process. The organisation condemned the straplines for being violations of IHL and the Geneva Conventions, but nothing is being done against the culprits. Also, MSF has been talking with armed groups for safe access, which has ethical implications between humanitarian principles and political realities.[7]

The United Nations and Humanitarian Efforts in Ukraine: The war in Ukraine continues to be one of the largest humanitarian crises to ever strike Europe since World War II, after Russia launched a full-scale invasion of Ukraine in 2022. The UN and its agencies-WFP, UNHCR, and UNICEF-have launched one of the largest humanitarian assistance programs in history, including food aid, refugee assistance, medical aid and psychosocial support for the displaced. Delayed due to mass displacements, destruction of infrastructure, and security threats, the humanitarian response to the war in Ukraine is still far from being sufficient. Targeting civilian infrastructure-destruction of hospitals, attacks on energy grids, and targeting aid distribution centres practices increased risks in humanitarian work, as did local bureaucratic hurdles that inhibit aid delivery to needy persons in occupied territories. The concern about the political aspect that came into the open through the trumped-up accusation

---

[6] 'Syria' (*International Committee of Red Cross*) <https://www.icrc.org/en/where-we-work/syria> assessed 28 February 2025

[7] 'Yemen' (*Doctors without Borders*) <https://www.doctorswithoutborders.org/what-we-do/where-we-work/yemen> assessed March 3 2025

against certain countries and donors of favouring assistance to Ukraine instead of assistance to other global crises raises issues of equity within humanitarian funding.[8]

STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING HUMANITARIAN ACCESS AND PROTECTION

Planned initiatives aim to provide better access and protection strategies for humanitarian organisations engaged in humanitarian assistance activities in conflict regions. The legal, security, political, and financial challenges that aid workers face require multifaceted approaches in both legal reform and improvements in security, international cooperation, and sustainable funding models. The section then captures some of the strategic approaches that can reinforce humanitarian operations in the rights of the aid worker and the affected populations. Converting an AI modelled text to human-like text. Make sure you also rewrite it, maintaining a longer perplexity and high burstiness, but the same number of words and HTML elements. Essentially, training is done on data until October 2023.[9]

Strengthening Legal Mechanisms and Diplomatic Negotiations –

- Make IHL enforcement tougher. Hold people accountable for attacks on aid workers and for stopping aid from getting through.

- Work harder on diplomacy. Push for plans that allow safe routes for aid, ceasefires, and protection for aid workers in dangerous places.

- Revise counterterrorism laws. These laws shouldn't make it harder to deliver aid. Sometimes, unclear rules mean that working with certain groups can be seen as illegal.

- Get countries to support important treaties. This includes the Geneva Conventions, the Convention on the Safety of UN and Associated Personnel, and the Arms Trade Treaty. These laws help keep aid workers safe.

---

[8] 'How the UN is supporting the people of Ukraine' *(UN Foundation,* November 2023) <https://unfoundation.org/ukraine/#:~:text=The%20UN%20is%20delivering%20emergency,all%2024%20oblasts%20in%20Ukraine> assessed 1 march 2025

[9] 'Deliver Humanitarian Aid' (*UN Peace, Dignity and Equality on a healthy planet*) <https://www.un.org/en/our-work/deliver-humanitarian-aid> assessed 2 March 2025

Improving Security Measures for Aid Workers -

- Better risk assessment tools allow security threats to be assessed before and during humanitarian missions.

- Investment in protective infrastructure, such as fortified aid distribution centres, safe houses, and armoured transport, where necessary.

- Extensive security education for humanitarian staff, including conflict negotiation, emergency response, and personal safety measures.

- Bolstering of UN peacekeeping and security support in protecting aid convoys and medical facilities in dangerous environments.[10]

Enhancing Cooperation between States, NGOs, and International Bodies -

- Establishing multi-stakeholder coordination platforms to streamline aid efforts, minimise duplication and create a more efficient crisis response.

- Encouraging governments to reduce bureaucracy in facilitating humanitarian access by means of simple processes for visas and permits for aid workers.

- Partnership development with local organisations that usually provide better access, community trust, and understanding of the region's political and security landscape.

- Strengthening UN-led initiatives for improved international coordination in conflict zones, such as the Global Humanitarian Response Plan (GHRP).

Developing Sustainable Funding Models -

- First, mix up where the money comes from. Relying too much on government aid can be risky. Getting donations from private people, charities, and businesses can help.

- Next, set up emergency response funds like the UN's Central Emergency Response Fund (CERF). This helps get resources out quickly when a crisis happens.

---

[10] 'Deliver Humanitarian Aid' (*UN Peace, Dignity and Equality on a healthy planet*) <https://www.un.org/en/our-work/deliver-humanitarian-aid> assessed 2 March 2025

- Also, organisations should push for flexible funding. This means they can spend money on what is needed at the moment instead of sticking to strict donor rules.

- Finally, it's important to invest in long-term needs. Building up healthcare facilities, logistics, and tech for emergency responses can make a difference.[11]

CONCLUSION

Humanitarian organisations are important in giving succour or aiding the provision of services in conflict regions, and the protection of human rights in those areas. However, efforts are thwarted through security risks, legal barriers, political interference, and lack of resources. Even international humanitarian law and the Geneva Conventions, which could safely procure the conditions of humanitarian access to aid workers, do not prevent violations; they exist, leaving millions of vulnerable persons out of critical assistance. Humanitarian organisations have faced challenges of too many folds, necessitating immediate responses in terms of good legal reinforcement, intense and constructive diplomacy, improved security measures, and sustainable funding models to ensure that the effectiveness of a response is witnessed at the time of crisis. One certainly disturbing aspect is the deliberate targeting of humanitarian aid workers and facilities, as this has reduced the capacity of the humanitarian organisations to successfully operate in emergencies. Armed groups have, more so, state actors weaponised humanitarian aid under either attack mode against a convoy or a medical base or relieving access with a bargaining tool. Such acts not only violate fundamental principles of humanitarian neutrality and protection under IHL but also discourage aid organisations from continuing operations in risky environments.

Without better accountability mechanisms and coordinated international pressure against the violators, such attacks will continue further endangering both aid workers and the civilians they are hoping to help. Not only are legal and security barriers, but humanitarian actions are complicated by political and ethical issues. Humanitarian agencies are commonly regarded as partisans by governments and fighting entities, especially when the distribution of aid results in suspicion of favouring one party over another. Indeed, it is an enduring challenge to reconcile these premises of neutrality, impartiality, and independence with the realities of working in politically charged environments. Humanitarian agencies must uphold the

---

[11] 'Deliver Humanitarian Aid' (*UN Peace, Dignity and Equality on a healthy planet*) <https://www.un.org/en/our-work/deliver-humanitarian-aid> assessed 2 March 2025

transparency and accountability mechanisms and reinforce their commitment to impartiality to win the trust and credibility of their work in conflict-prone environments. Even with these challenges, aid groups show a strong commitment, especially in tough areas. Look at the International Committee of the Red Cross in Syria, or Médecins Sans Frontières in Yemen. The United Nations is also doing important work in Ukraine. These examples show how aid groups deal with difficult situations and the need for community support and teamwork to make a real difference. It is imperative to adopt a multi-pronged approach to enhancing humanitarian access and protection in the coming days. Making considerable changes to strengthen legal frameworks, improve security and safety for field workers, ensure the cooperation of all states, national NGOs, and international organizations, and create viable funding structures for the activities in question constitutes a set of core actions required to address the perennial nature in which humanitarian organizations have come to operate. Concrete action ought to be taken by governments and international institutions toward enforcing compliance with humanitarian law, clamping down on the violators, and ensuring aid organisations can operate free from undue constraints and risks. Humanitarian assistance is, at the very minimum, a moral and legal obligation, not an operational necessity. The respect of human rights, promotion of peace and stability are dependent on humanitarian organisations being able to deliver aid in safety and with dignity. Strengthening the international commitment to these tenets is crucial in guaranteeing that humanitarian interventions are effective, impartial, and resilient against any global crisis. In partnership, the international community must intervene to uphold basic human rights for persons in conflict and to reaffirm its commitment to humanitarian principles: to protect and preserve human dignity even in some of the world's most challenging circumstances.